Department of Planning and Budget 2024 Session Fiscal Impact Statement

| 1. | Bill Number | Number: SB 335 | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---|---------------|--|------------|--|-----------|
| | House of Orig | in 🖂 | Introduced | | Substitute | | Engrossed |
| | Second House | | In Committee | | Substitute | | Enrolled |
| 2. | Patron: | Salim | | | | | |
| 3. | Committee: | Education | on and Health | | | | |
| 4. | Title: | : State plan for medical assistance services; fertility preservation treatments, etc. | | | | | |

5. Summary: The proposed legislation directs the Department of Medical Assistance Services (DMAS) to amend the state plan for medical assistance services to include a provision for payment of medical assistance for coverage of fertility preservation for individuals diagnosed with cancer who need treatment for that cancer that may cause a substantial risk of sterility or iatrogenic infertility, as defined in the bill, including surgery, radiation, or chemotherapy. The bill also requires health insurance policies, subscription contracts, and health care plans to provide such coverage for such individuals.

6. Budget Amendment Necessary: Yes. Items 75 and 288.

7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Preliminary. See Item 8.

Expenditure Impact:

| Fiscal Year | Dollars | Fund |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 2025 | \$3,083,303 | General Fund |
| 2025 | \$9,435,697 | Non-General Funds |
| 2026 | \$3,142,409 | General Fund |
| 2026 | \$9,610,646 | Non-General Funds |
| 2027 | \$3,245,536 | General Fund |
| 2027 | \$9,939,622 | Non-General Funds |
| 2028 | \$3,292,803 | General Fund |
| 2028 | \$10,090,402 | Non-General Funds |
| 2029 | \$3,292,803 | General Fund |
| 2029 | \$10,090,402 | Non-General Funds |
| 2030 | \$3,292,803 | General Fund |
| 2030 | \$10,090,402 | Non-General Funds |

8. Fiscal Implications: This bill requires DMAS to amend the state plan to cover standard fertility preservation procedures including sperm, oocyte, embryo banking, banking of reproductive tissues and storage of reproductive cells and tissues. Currently, DMAS does not cover services to promote fertility and Medicaid has no federal requirements to cover fertility testing or treatment. There are some other state Medicaid programs that cover infertility diagnostic services; however, it does not appear that any other states provide services comparable to those proposed in this bill. Utah has developed a request to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for similar fertility preservation treatments to be covered by Medicaid, but it has not yet been approved.

DMAS assumes the cost for oocyte and embryo banking treatments would be \$12,000 per freezing cycle. Based on available information, it appears that multiple freezing cycles are necessary for oocyte harvesting depending on a number of variables. For the purposes of this analysis, it is assumed that, on average, two cycles would be necessary to generate the amounts of eggs necessary for banking. In addition, it is expected that fertility medications would add \$5,000 to the cost of each oocyte banking treatment. In addition, it is assumed that ongoing storage costs would add \$1,000 per individual per year starting in the second year. By analyzing hospital claims, DMAS finds an average of 120 new members between the ages of 12 and 44 have a claim with a primary diagnosis of internal cancer each month. Two-thirds of these members are women, of which 51 percent are enrolled in Medicaid Expansion, one percent in FAMIS and 48 percent in base Medicaid. Utilization is unknown but for the purposes of this estimate DMAS assumes 30 of these members would use the new benefit. The cost is estimated to be \$10.4 million (\$2.5 million general fund) in fiscal year 2025 and \$10.6 million (\$2.5 million general fund) in fiscal year 2026.

DMAS assumes the cost for sperm banking treatment would be \$8,000. In addition, it is assumed that ongoing storage costs would add \$500 per individual per year starting in the second year. By analyzing hospital claims, DMAS finds an average of 120 new members between the ages of 12 and 44 have a claim with a primary diagnosis of internal cancer each month. One-third of these members are men, of which 61 percent are enrolled in Medicaid Expansion and 39 percent in base Medicaid. Utilization is unknown but for the purposes of this estimate DMAS assumes 15 of these members would use the new benefit. The cost is estimated to be \$1.4 million (\$0.3 million general fund) in fiscal year 2025 and \$1.5 million (\$0.3 million general fund) in fiscal year 2026.

The ongoing cost of this bill is unclear. The bill does not cover in-vitro fertilization, which can run between \$24,000 and \$36,000 and it is unknown as to when the Commonwealth's commitment to cover the cost of storage ends. While storage costs are assumed for the time horizon of this fiscal impact statement, it is unclear as to when the Commonwealth's commitment to cover the cost of storage ends. For example, it is unlikely that CMS will cover the cost of storage for individuals that lose Medicaid coverage. Should that coverage end, it is unclear as to the disposition of any preserved items.

The bill's provisions would also require health insurance policies, subscription contracts, and health care plans to provide similar fertility preservation coverage. The Department of Human Resource Management estimates the bill will have an annual cost of \$639,000 to the

state health plan. Approximately half of this amount would be supported with general fund. The fiscal impact is not expected to change the health insurance premiums funded in SB 30.

9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:

Department of Medical Assistance Services
Department of Human Resources Management

10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No

11. Other Comments: This bill is similar to HB 278 and SB 333.