



## Fiscal Impact Statement for Proposed Legislation

### Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

#### House Bill No. 18 Amendment in the Nature of a Substitute (Patron Prior to Substitute –Helmer)

**LD#:** 24106860

**Date:** 02/08/2024

**Topic:** Definition of hate crimes

#### Fiscal Impact Summary:

- **State Adult Correctional Facilities:**  
\$50,000 \*
- **Local Adult Correctional Facilities:**  
Cannot be determined
- **Adult Community Corrections Programs:**  
Cannot be determined

- **Juvenile Direct Care:**  
Cannot be determined\*\*
- **Juvenile Detention Facilities:**  
Cannot be determined\*\*

\*\*Provided by the Department of Juvenile Justice

\*The estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 1 of the Acts of Assembly of 2023, Special Session I, requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, fiscal impact statements prepared by the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission only include the estimated increase in operating costs associated with additional state-responsible prison beds and do not reflect any other costs or savings that may be associated with the proposed legislation.

#### Summary of Proposed Legislation:

The proposal amends §§ 18.2-57 (relating to assault and battery), and 18.2-121 (relating to trespassing with the intent to damage property) by adding victims who are intentionally selected because of their ethnic origins to the categories of victims whose intentional selection for a hate crime results in a higher criminal penalty for the offense. By adding more detailed characteristics of hate-crime victims, the proposal would expand the applicability of the penalties for offenses currently classified as a hate crime under §§ 18.2-57 and 18.2-121.

Currently, under § 18.2-57, simple assault is punished as a Class 1 misdemeanor if the victim is chosen because of his or her race, religious conviction, gender, disability, gender identity, sexual orientation, color, or national origin. If bodily injury results, the penalty increases to a Class 6 felony. Currently, trespassing with the intent to damage property is a Class 1 misdemeanor under § 18.2-121. However, if the victim (the owner, user, or occupant of the property) is selected because of his or her race, religious conviction, color, gender, disability, gender identity, sexual orientation, or national origin, the penalty is increased to a Class 6 felony.

The General Assembly in the 2020 session expanded the hate crime provisions under §§ 8.01-42.1, 8.01-49.1, 18.2-57, 18.2-121, and 52-8.5 to include the crimes committed because of the victim's gender, disability, gender identity, or sexual orientation. Prior to this revision, hate crimes had been defined as an offense committed because of the victim's race, religious conviction, color or national origin. Furthermore,

during the same session, the General Assembly removed the provisions requiring a 30-day mandatory minimum term of confinement that was applicable to hate crimes defined under §§ 18.2-57 and 18.2-121.

---

**Analysis:**

According to the Virginia State Police *Crime in Virginia* report for calendar years 2021 and 2022,<sup>1</sup> there were 235 instances of hate-motivated acts based on the race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, religion, disability, gender, or gender identity of the victim where the incident involved an assault (simple or aggravated) or the destruction of property. Of the total, 131 instances were a simple or aggravated assault and 104 incidents involved destruction of property.

According to General District Court Case Management System (CMS) data for fiscal year FY2018 through FY2023, a misdemeanor hate-crime assault (under the existing § 18.2-57(A)) was the primary, or most serious, offense at sentencing for 43 offenders over this time period. Of these, 27 offenders (62.8%) were sentenced to local-responsible (jail) terms with a median sentence length of two months. The remaining 16 offenders (37.2%) did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing.

Circuit Court CMS data for the same six-year period indicate that a felony hate-crime assault and battery (under the existing § 18.2-57(B)) was the primary offense for eight offenders; of these, five were sentenced to serve jail terms with a median sentence of six months. The remaining three offenders were sentenced to serve state-responsible (prison) terms with a median sentence of 1.8 years. However, another 20 offenders were convicted in circuit court of a misdemeanor hate-crime assault under § 18.2-57(A) as the primary offense (for these offenders, all felony charges were nolle prossed, dismissed, resulted in a not guilty finding, or were reduced to misdemeanors). Of these, 70.0% were sentenced to a local-responsible (jail) term with a median sentence of 3.3 months. The remaining offenders did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing.

Two offenders were convicted of a felony for trespassing with intent to damage property as a hate crime (§ 18.2-121) as the primary offense. One offender was sentenced to a state-responsible prison term of two years; the remaining offender did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing.

---

**Impact of Proposed Legislation:**

**State adult correctional facilities.** The proposal potentially expands the applicability of two existing felony offenses under §§ 18.2-57(B) and 18.2-121 to include more categories of hate-crime victims. In this way, the proposal could increase the state-responsible (prison) bed space needs of the Commonwealth.<sup>2</sup> Available data are insufficient, however, to identify the number of additional defendants who may be affected by the proposal or to estimate the number of new state-responsible prison sentences that may result from enactment of the proposal. Therefore, the magnitude of the impact on prison bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Local adult correctional facilities.** Similarly, the impact of the proposal on local-responsible (jail) bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Adult community corrections resources.** Because the proposal could result in convictions and subsequent supervision requirements for an additional number of offenders, the proposal may increase the

---

<sup>1</sup> Virginia State Police. (2022). *Crime in Virginia 2022*. <https://vsp.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/Crime-In-Virginia-2022.pdf>  
Virginia State Police. (2021). *Crime in Virginia 2021*. <https://vsp.virginia.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/CrimeInVirginia2021.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> Under current law, felony offenses defined in § 18.2-57(B) and 18.2-121 are eligible for the enhanced sentence credits specified in § 53.1-202.3, whereby offenders will serve a minimum of 67% of the sentence ordered by the court. The proposed legislation does not change the earned sentence credits available to offenders convicted of these felonies.

need for adult community corrections resources. Since the number of cases affected cannot be determined, the potential impact on community corrections cannot be quantified.

**Virginia’s Sentencing Guidelines.** Felony convictions under §§ 18.2-57(B) and 18.2-121 are not covered by the Sentencing Guidelines as the primary (most serious) offense; however, convictions under these statutes could augment the Guidelines recommendation (as additional offenses) if the most serious offense at sentencing is covered by the Guidelines. Felony offenses in § 18.2-57(B) are defined as violent in § 17.1-805(C) for the purposes of the Guidelines; felonies defined in § 18.2-121 are not defined as violent in § 17.1-805(C). No adjustment to the Guidelines would be necessary under the proposal.

**Juvenile direct care.** According to the Department of Juvenile Justice, the impact of the proposal on direct care (juvenile correctional center or alternative commitment placement) bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Juvenile detention facilities.** According to the Department of Juvenile Justice, the impact of the proposal on the bed space needs of juvenile detention facilities cannot be determined.

---

**Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4 of the Code of Virginia, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 1 of the Acts of Assembly of 2023, Special Session I, requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.**

**Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4 of the Code of Virginia, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of commitment to the custody of the Department of Juvenile Justice.**

hate08\_6860