

Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

# House Bill No. 2583 (Patron – Gilbert)

LD#: <u>19102665</u>

Date: <u>12/19/2018</u>

Topic: <u>Protective orders</u>

**Fiscal Impact Summary:** 

- State Adult Correctional Facilities: \$136,078 (4 beds)
- Local Adult Correctional Facilities: -\$ 7,713 (less than 1 bed)
- Adult Community Corrections Programs: None (\$0)
- Juvenile Direct Care: Cannot be determined\*
- Juvenile Detention Facilities: Cannot be determined\*

\*Provided by the Department of Juvenile Justice

## Summary of Proposed Legislation:

The proposal amends § 16.1-253.2 of the *Code of Virginia*, relating to protective orders. Section 16.1-253.2 specifies criminal penalties for violations of protective orders issued pursuant to §§ 16.1-253, 16.1-253.1, 16.1-253.4, 16.1-278.14, 16.1-279.1, and subsection B of § 20-103. Currently, under §16.1-253.2 (B), any person convicted of violating a protective order while knowingly armed with a firearm or other deadly weapon is guilty of a Class 6 felony.

Under the proposal, any person convicted of a Class 6 felony under §16.1-253.2 (B) must serve a mandatory minimum sentence of three years, which must run consecutively with any other sentence.

## Analysis:

Data from the Circuit Court Case Management System (CMS) for fiscal year (FY) 2017 and FY2018 indicate that five offenders were convicted of a completed felony in violation of §16.1-253.2(B). Of these, two offenders were sentenced to a state-responsible (prison) term; one received a sentence of five years while other offender received a life sentence (this offender was also convicted of sexual assault (§§ 18.2-61, 18.2-67.2, and 18.2-67.1), kidnapping (§§ 18.2-48 and 18.2-47), statutory burglary (§18.2-90), and other felony assaults (§§ 18.2-51, 18.2-51.2, and 18.2-53.1)). The remaining three offenders received a local-responsible (jail) term with a median sentence of approximately seven months.

## **Impact of Proposed Legislation:**

**State adult correctional facilities.** By establishing a three-year mandatory minimum sentence for a violation of §16.1-253.2 (B), the proposal is expected to have an impact on the future state-responsible (prison) bed space needs of the Commonwealth. The impact on state-responsible bed space needs is

estimated to be four beds by FY2025. Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation is \$136,078.

FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
1	2	3	4	4	4

#### Estimated Six-Year Impact in State-Responsible (Prison) Beds

**Local adult correctional facilities.** The proposal is not expected to increase the need for localresponsible (jail) beds, since affected offenders would be required to serve a state-responsible (prison) term.

Adult community corrections resources. The proposal is not expected to increase the need for community corrections resources and will delay the need for services for some offenders affected by the proposal, as they will stay in prison longer prior to being released to the community.

**Virginia's sentencing guidelines.** Currently, felony violations of protective orders under § 16.1-253.2 are not covered by the guidelines when this crime is the primary, or most serious, offense. However, convictions under this statute may augment the guidelines recommendation if the most serious offense at sentencing is covered by the guidelines. No adjustment to the guidelines would be necessary under the proposal.

**Juvenile direct care.** According to the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), the impact of the proposal on direct care (juvenile correctional center or alternative commitment placement) bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Juvenile detention facilities.** The Department of Juvenile Justice reports that the proposal's impact on the bed space needs of juvenile detention facilities cannot be determined.

Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation is \$136,078 for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities and cannot be determined for periods of commitment to the custody of the Department of Juvenile Justice.

#### Assumptions underlying the analysis include: General Assumptions

- 1. State and local responsibility is based on § 53.1-20 as analyzed for the Secretary of Public Safety's Committee on Inmate Forecasting in 2018.
- 2. New cases resulting in state-responsible sentences were based on forecasts developed by the Secretary of Public Safety's Committee on Inmate Forecasting and approved in 2018.
- 3. Cost per prison bed was assumed to be \$35,053 per year as provided by the Department of Planning and Budget to the Commission pursuant to \$ 30-19.1:4. Where the estimated bed space impact included a portion (or fraction) of a bed, a prorated cost was included in the estimated amount of necessary appropriation.
- 4. Cost per jail bed was based on The Compensation Board's FY2017 Jail Cost Report. The state cost was calculated from the revenue portion and the resulting sum was \$33.83 per day or \$12,356 per year. The local cost was calculated by using the daily expenditure cost of \$85.98 per inmate (not including capital accounts or debt service) as the base, and subtracting revenues accrued from the state and federal governments, which resulted in \$49.53 per day or \$18,091 per year. *Where the estimated bed space impact included a portion (or fraction) of a bed, a prorated cost was included in the estimate.*

#### Assumptions relating to offenders

1. Analysis includes all cases involving a completed offense under §16.1-253.2(B) as the primary (most serious) offense in the case or as an additional offense to a more serious felony.

#### Assumptions relating to sentencing and time served

- 1. The impact of the proposed legislation, which would be effective on July 1, 2019, is phased in to account for case processing time.
- 2. It was assumed that all eligible offenders under §16.1-253.2(B) would be sentenced to a three-year mandatory minimum term of imprisonment that would run consecutively with any other sentence upon a conviction as proposed. Identified offenders who received sentences less than the proposed mandatory minimums were assumed to serve the mandatory minimum term specified in the proposal. Offenders currently receiving sentences exceeding the proposed mandatory minimum were assumed to be unaffected by the proposed legislation.
- 3. The state-responsible bed-space impact was derived by estimating the difference between expected dates of release under current law and under the proposed legislation. Release dates were estimated based on the average rates at which inmates in Department of Corrections' facilities were earning sentence credits as of December 31, 2017. For person crimes, this rate was 8.15%.

#### Limitations

1. The Circuit Court Case Management System does not include cases from Fairfax or Alexandria.

protord01\_2665