



Fiscal Impact Statement for Proposed Legislation

Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

House Bill No. 1701

(Patron – Simon)

LD#: 19100105

Date: 10/15/2018

Topic: References to gender in specific statutes and repeal of adultery

Fiscal Impact Summary:

- **State Adult Correctional Facilities:**
\$50,000 *
- **Local Adult Correctional Facilities:**
Cannot be determined
- **Adult Community Corrections Programs:**
Cannot be determined

- **Juvenile Direct Care:**
Cannot be determined**
- **Juvenile Detention Facilities:**
Cannot be determined**

**Provided by the Department of Juvenile Justice

* The estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 2 of the Acts of Assembly of 2018, Special Session I, requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

Summary of Proposed Legislation:

The proposal amends numerous sections of the *Code of Virginia* to modify references to gender and to replace husband/wife, brother/sister, and other relationships by marriage or blood with broader, gender-neutral terms. The proposal repeals statutory prohibitions on same-sex marriages and civil unions or other arrangements between persons of the same sex¹ and modifies laws regarding children born through assisted conception to make them applicable to both opposite-sex and same-sex married couples. Finally, the proposal repeals the adultery statute (§ 18.2-365) and amends other *Code* sections to replace references to adultery with the phrase “sexual intercourse.”

Along with the repeal of the adultery statute (§ 18.2-365), the proposal affects five *Code* sections relating to criminal offenses. In § 18.2-49 (abduction), the proposal replaces “female under sixteen years of age” with “child under 16 years of age.” In § 18.2-362 (bigamy), “husband” and “wife” are replaced with “spouse.” In § 18.2-366 (sexual intercourse by persons forbidden to marry), gender-specific terms are modified to gender-neutral ones. In § 18.2-368 (leaving wife for prostitution), the proposal replaces “wife” with “spouse.” In § 18.2-417 (slander and libel), “female” is replaced with “person.”

Penalties for crimes defined in §§ 18.2-49, 18.2-362, 18.2-366, 18.2-368 and 18.2-417 remain unchanged under the proposal. Currently, under § 18.2-49, it is a Class 5 felony to (1) threaten or attempt to abduct any other person with intent to extort money or pecuniary benefit, or (2) assist in the abduction of, or threaten to abduct, any person with the intent to defile, or (3) assist in the abduction of, or threaten to

¹ These prohibitions are no longer valid following the United States Supreme Court decision in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 576 U.S. __ (June 26, 2015).

abduct, any female under the age of 16 for the purposes of concubinage or prostitution. Bigamy under § 18.2-362 is punishable as a Class 4 felony. Under § 18.2-366, any person who commits adultery or fornication with any person whom he or she is forbidden by law is guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor; however, any person who commits certain sexual acts with a specified family member is guilty of a Class 5 felony or, if the family member is 13 to 17 years of age, a Class 3 felony. Under § 18.2-368, it is a Class 4 felony for a person, by force, fraud, intimidation, or threats, to place or leave, or procure another person to place or leave, his wife for the purpose of prostitution or unlawful sexual intercourse. Slander and libel under § 18.2-417 is a Class 3 misdemeanor.

Analysis:

Existing data do not contain sufficient detail to determine the number of cases that would be affected by the proposal. However, affected offenders may be sentenced similarly to those who are currently convicted under existing provisions.

According to fiscal year (FY) 2012 through FY2017 Sentencing Guidelines data, two offenders were convicted under § 18.2-49 for threatening, attempting or assisting an abduction. It was the primary, or most serious, offense in both of the cases. One of these offenders received a 10-month jail sentence, while the other offender did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing.

Also, during FY2012-FY2017, 21 offenders were convicted of a felony under § 18.2-362 for marrying when a spouse was still alive (bigamy). It was the most serious offense in all of the cases. Of these, the majority (76.2%) did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing. Another 19% received a local-responsible (jail) term for which the median sentence was six months. The remaining offender received a state-responsible (prison) term of 1.5 years.

During the same six-year period, one offender was convicted under § 18.2-368 for placing or leaving his wife in a bawdy place for the purposes of prostitution or unlawful sexual intercourse. The individual received a one-year prison sentence.

In addition, during FY2012-FY2017, 15 offenders were convicted of a Class 5 felony for adultery or fornication with “his daughter or granddaughter, or with her son or grandson or her father or his mother,” a violation of § 18.2-366. It was the most serious offense in six of the cases. Half of these (50%) received a state-responsible (prison) term with a median sentence of eight years. Another 16.7% received a local-responsible (jail) term for which the median sentence was five months. One-third (33.3%) did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing. Another 25 offenders were convicted of the Class 3 felony under § 18.2-366 for a parent or grandparent committing adultery or fornication with his or her child or grandchild when the child was 13 to 17 years old. This was the most serious offense in 19 cases. The majority (78.9%) of these offenders received a state-responsible (prison) term with a median sentence of 15 years.

According to the General District Court and the Juvenile & Domestic Relations Court Case Management Systems (CMS) for FY2013 through FY2018, 14 offenders were convicted of an unclassified misdemeanor with a six-month maximum sentence under § 20-40. The offense resulted from leaving the Commonwealth to avoid conviction for a prohibited marriage under § 20-38. One of these individuals received a local-responsible (jail) term of one month. The remaining offenders did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing.

According to Juvenile & Domestic Relations Court and General District Court data for FY2013 through FY2018, eight offenders were convicted of adultery under § 18.2-365. Adultery, a Class 4 misdemeanor, is punishable by a fine of not more than \$250.

Impact of Proposed Legislation:

State adult correctional facilities. To the extent the proposal expands the applicability of existing felony provisions to additional persons ², the proposal may increase the state-responsible (prison) bed space needs of the Commonwealth. Existing databases do not provide sufficient detail to estimate the number of new felony convictions that may result from enactment of the proposal. Criminal behavior defined in §§ 18.2-49 and 18.2-366 may currently be addressed by other statutes within the *Code of Virginia* and the net effect of changes to these statutes on felony convictions cannot be determined. For these reasons, the magnitude of the impact on prison bed space needs cannot be quantified.

Local adult correctional facilities. Similarly, the proposal may increase the local-responsible (jail) bed space needs, but the magnitude of the impact cannot be determined.

Adult community corrections programs. Because the proposal could result in felony convictions and subsequent supervision requirements for an additional number of offenders, the proposal may increase the need for state community corrections resources. Since the number of cases that may be affected by the proposal cannot be determined, the potential impact on community corrections cannot be quantified.

Virginia’s sentencing guidelines. Felony convictions under §§ 18.2-49, 18.2-362, 18.2-366, 18.2-368 are covered by the sentencing guidelines. No adjustment to the guidelines would be necessary under the proposal.

Juvenile direct care. According to the Department of Juvenile Justice, the impact of the proposal on direct care (juvenile correctional center or alternative commitment placement) bed space needs cannot be determined.

Juvenile detention facilities. The Department of Juvenile Justice reports that the proposal’s impact on the bed space needs of juvenile detention facilities cannot be determined.

Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 2 of the Acts of Assembly of 2018, Special Session I, requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of commitment to the custody of the Department of Juvenile Justice.

gender01_0105

² In *Howell v. McAuliffe* (2016), the Supreme Court of Virginia specified that, in the absence of a contrary indication, “the masculine includes the feminine (and vice versa) and the singular includes the plural (and vice versa).” See Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner, *Reading Law: The Interpretation of Legal Texts* 129 (2012) (App. 250). See also Code § 1-216 (“A word used in the masculine includes the feminine and neuter.”); Code § 1-227 (“A word used in the singular includes the plural and a word used in the plural includes the singular.”).