

19103968D

SENATE BILL NO. 1499

Offered January 9, 2019

Prefiled January 8, 2019

A BILL to amend and reenact § 46.2-1573 of the Code of Virginia, relating to the Department of Motor Vehicles hearings; motor vehicle dealers.

Patrons—DeSteph and Spruill; Delegate: Stolle

Referred to Committee on Transportation

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That § 46.2-1573 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows:

§ 46.2-1573. Hearings and other remedies; civil penalties.

A. In every case of a hearing before the Commissioner authorized under this article, the Commissioner shall give reasonable notice of each hearing to all interested parties, and the Commissioner's decision shall be binding on the parties, subject to the rights of judicial review and appeal as provided in Chapter 40 (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.) of Title 2.2. In every case of a hearing before the Commissioner authorized under this article based on a request or petition of a motor vehicle dealer, the manufacturer, factory branch, distributor, or distributor branch shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the manufacturer, factory branch, distributor, or distributor branch has good cause to take the action or actions for which the dealer has filed the petition for a hearing or that such actions are reasonable if required under the relevant provision. Any corporation or association that is primarily owned by or comprised of motor vehicle dealers and that primarily represents the interests of motor vehicle dealers shall have standing to request or petition the Commissioner for relief for itself, or by, for, or on behalf of any dealer or group of dealers, for an alleged violation of this article or for the determination of any rights created by this article. Any such corporation or association shall further have standing to appear as an appellant or appellee in an appeal to any court of a decision by the Commissioner or appeal of any lower court decision.

B. The hearing process before the Commissioner under this article shall commence within 90 days of the request for a hearing by prehearing conference between the hearing officer and the parties in person, by telephone, or by other electronic means designated by the Commissioner. The hearing officer will set the hearing on a date or dates consistent with the rights of due process of the parties. The Commissioner's decision shall be rendered within 60 days from the receipt of the hearing officer's recommendation. Hearings authorized under this article shall be presided over by a hearing officer selected from a list prepared by the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia within 60 days following the request for a hearing. Reasonable efforts shall be made to ensure that a hearing officer shall have at least five years of experience as a hearing officer in administrative hearings in the Commonwealth, shall have telephone and email capability, and shall be an active member of the Virginia State Bar. On request of the Commissioner, the Executive Secretary will name a hearing officer from the list, selected on a rotation system administered by the Executive Secretary. The hearing officer shall provide recommendations to the Commissioner within 90 days of the conclusion of the hearing.

C. Notwithstanding any contrary provision of this article, the Commissioner shall initiate investigations, conduct hearings, and determine the rights of parties under this article whenever he is provided information by the Motor Vehicle Dealer Board or any other person indicating a possible violation of any provision of this article. The Commissioner shall issue a response to the Motor Vehicle Dealer Board or person reporting the alleged violation providing an explanation of action taken under this section and the reason for such action.

D. For purposes of any matter brought to the Commissioner under subdivisions 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7b of § 46.2-1569 with respect to which the Commissioner is to determine whether there is good cause for a proposed action or whether it would be unreasonable under the circumstances, the Commissioner shall consider:

1. The volume of the affected dealer's business in the relevant market area;
2. The nature and extent of the dealer's investment in its business;
3. The adequacy of the dealer's capitalization to the franchisor's standards and the adequacy of the dealer's facilities, equipment, parts, supplies, and personnel;
4. The effect of the proposed action on the community;
5. The extent and quality of the dealer's service under motor vehicle warranties;
6. The dealer's performance under the terms of its franchise;
7. Other economic and geographical factors reasonably associated with the proposed action; and

INTRODUCED

SB1499

59 8. The recommendations, if any, from a three-member panel composed of members of the Board  
60 who are franchised dealers not of the same line-make involved in the hearing and who are appointed to  
61 the panel by the Commissioner.

62 E. An interested party in a hearing held pursuant to subsection A of this section shall comply with  
63 the effective date of compliance established by the Commissioner in his decision in such hearing, unless  
64 a stay or extension of such date is granted by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's decision is under  
65 judicial review and appeal as provided in subsection A of this section. If, after notice to such interested  
66 party and an opportunity to comment, the Commissioner finds an interested party has not complied with  
67 his decision by the designated date of compliance, unless a stay or extension of such date has been  
68 granted by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's decision is under judicial review and appeal, the  
69 Commissioner may assess such interested party a civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000 per day of  
70 noncompliance. Civil penalties collected under this subsection shall be deposited into the Transportation  
71 Trust Fund established pursuant to § 33.2-1524.

72 F. During the hearing process, parties may obtain documents and materials by discovery pursuant to  
73 Rules 4:9 and 4:9A of the Supreme Court of Virginia. The parties shall exchange reports of experts,  
74 which shall meet the standard of Rule 4:1 of the Supreme Court of Virginia, at times to be established  
75 by the hearing officer. The parties may utilize any other form of discovery provided under the Rules of  
76 Supreme Court of Virginia if allowed by the hearing officer based on good cause shown. For discovery  
77 permitted under the Rules of Supreme Court of Virginia, a party may object to the discovery sought or  
78 seek to limit the discovery sought on any grounds permitted by the Rules or applicable law.