Department of Planning and Budget 2017 Fiscal Impact Statement

l.	Bill Number	r: SB1449					
	House of Orig	in 🖂	Introduced		Substitute		Engrossed
	Second House		In Committee		Substitute		Enrolled
2.	Patron:	Chase					
3.	Committee: General Laws and Technology						
4.	Title:	Department of Planning and Budget; establishment of the Division of Regulatory Management.					

- 5. Summary: Department of Planning and Budget; establishment of the Division of Regulatory Management and the Red Tape Reduction Commission; review of regulatory requirements; report. Creates the Division of Regulatory Management (the Division) within the Department of Planning and Budget to develop and maintain a state regulatory baseline of all current state regulatory requirements. The bill defines a regulatory requirement as any action required to be taken or information required to be provided in accordance with a statute, regulation, or policy in order to access government services or operate and conduct business. The bill also provides that after the regulatory baseline has been established, any subsequent regulatory requirement proposed by an agency that is not included in the initial state regulatory baseline is considered a new regulatory requirement and requires the approval of the Division before it may be enacted. The bill also creates the Red Tape Reduction Commission to review current state regulatory requirements and provide recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly on measures to reduce the baseline regulatory requirements.
- **6. Budget Amendment Necessary**: Indeterminate see Item 8.
- 7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Preliminary see Item 8.
- **8. Fiscal Implications:** The fiscal impact of this bill cannot be determined at this time. The bill requires the Department of Planning and Budget (DPB) to increase its role and oversight significantly with regard to the review and promulgation of regulations and other "regulatory requirements." While the actual costs associated with this legislation are currently indeterminate, DPB would require additional staff and would need to develop a new information technology system to track regulatory baselines, requirements, and changes.

Background

The bill defines a regulatory requirement as, "any action required to be taken or information required to be provided in accordance with a statute, regulation, or policy in order to access government services or operate and conduct business." This definition does not specify

which statutes and regulations so it appears to include all federal statutes and regulations, as well as state statutes and regulations.

Currently, DPB has a small regulatory review division that administers the requirements of the current law and the Governor's Executive Order requiring the review and economic impact analysis of certain executive branch regulations. This review does not include all state regulations since many are exempted from this review process by state statute. This review also does not include any review of state statutes or policies. Finally, this review does not include any federal statutes, regulations, or policies.

Information technology costs

This bill would require creating and maintaining a searchable database of all regulatory requirements; establishing a baseline of all regulatory requirements; and creating a process for review, replacement, and reduction of regulatory requirements. This potential size of the required database cannot be determined because the scope of "policy" that might be considered a regulatory requirement is not defined and is not known at this time. Further, it appears to encompass both state and federal regulatory requirements (as defined in the bill) so the universe is significantly larger than the current "Town Hall" regulatory database maintained by DPB.

For reference purposes, the current performance budgeting system, which houses all of the state's budget information and data, was developed at a cost of approximately \$15 million and the annual maintenance of the system, software, licenses, etc. is approximately \$1 million. It also took many years to develop. It is expected the database required to support this bill will have to be much larger and just as sophisticated. Consequently, the cost to create and maintain it could exceed the costs of the performance budgeting system. It is not known if a similar system already exists in other states. If so, costs could be reduced if that system could be replicated in Virginia. It would also take time and resources to get this new database to interface with, expand, or replace the Town Hall which currently provides the mandated public notice and comment features of the rulemaking process.

Staffing costs

In addition to the costs associated with creating and maintaining a database of all regulatory requirements, the bill significantly increases the role of DPB and the scope of its regulatory duties. The requirements of this bill could not be accomplished with existing staff. It is not known how many additional staff would be needed at this time but it is expected that the level of effort required by this bill would significantly increase the staffing levels needed by the agency.

Red Tape Reduction Commission costs

Finally, this bill creates the Red Tape Reduction Commission as an advisory commission to review current state regulatory requirements and provide recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly on measures to reduce the baseline regulatory requirements. The bill

requires DPB to provide staff assistance so the cost of additional staff for DPB would need to consider these duties as well. Actual costs for the operation and meetings of the commission cannot be determined at this time because it is not known how many meetings (beyond the four required meetings) or how much time the commission would need to meet in order to fulfill the requirements of this bill.

- **9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:** Department of Planning and Budget and all state agencies that have regulatory authority or agencies that issue statewide policy.
- 10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No.
- **11. Other Comments:** This bill is similar to House Bill 1564 (Webert).