Department of Planning and Budget 2017 Fiscal Impact Statement

1. Bill Number: HB 2473

House of Origin	\boxtimes	Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
Second House		In Committee	Substitute	Enrolled

2. Patron: Cline

3. Committee: House Courts of Justice

4. Title: Protective orders

5. Summary:

Under current law, a third or subsequent violation of a protective order within a 20-year period, when any of the prior or current offenses was based on an act or threat of violence, is a Class 6 felony. The proposed legislation would expand the provision to include a third or subsequent conviction of any combination of protective order violations or stalking.

6. Budget Amendment Necessary: Yes. Item 394.

7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Preliminary. See Item 8 below.

Expenditure Impact:

Fiscal Year	Dollars	Fund
2017	\$50,000	General
2018	\$0	
2019	\$0	
2020	\$0	
2021	\$0	
2022	\$0	

8. Fiscal Implications:

For someone convicted of a Class 6 felony, a judge has the option of sentencing him to up to one year in jail, or 1 to 5 years in prison. Therefore, by expanding an existing Class 6 felony offense, this proposal could result in an increase in the number of persons sentenced to jail or prison.

There is not enough information available to reliably estimate how many additional inmates in jail could result from this proposal. Ultimately, the presiding judge will decide if

there is to be any time served in jail; however, any increase in jail population will increase costs to the state. The Commonwealth presently pays the localities \$4.00 a day for each misdemeanant or otherwise local responsible prisoner held in a jail and \$12.00 a day for each state responsible inmate. It also funds a considerable portion of the jails' operating costs, e.g. correctional officers. The state's share of these costs on a per prisoner, per day basis varies from locality to locality. However, according to the Compensation Board's most recent Jail Cost Report (November 2016), the estimated total state support for local jails averaged \$32.82 per inmate, per day in FY 2015.

Due to the lack of data, the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission has concluded, pursuant to §30-19.1:4 of the Code of Virginia, that the impact of the proposed legislation on state-responsible (prison) bed space cannot be determined. In such cases, Chapter 780 of the 2016 Acts of Assembly requires that a minimum impact of \$50,000 be assigned to the bill.

9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:

Department of Corrections Local and regional jails.

10. Technical Amendment Necessary:

The proposed legislation could result in the need for additional prison beds. However, it does not have the clause prepared by the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission printed on its face, as is required by statute.

11. Other Comments: None.

Date: 1/27/2017