# Department of Planning and Budget 2016 Fiscal Impact Statement 

1. Bill Number: HB730

| House of Origin | $\boxed{l}$ | Introduced | $\square$ | Substitute | $\square$ | Engrossed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second House | $\square$ | In Committee | $\square$ | Substitute | $\square$ | Enrolled |

2. Patron: LeMunyon
3. Committee: Transportation
4. Title: Commuter parking lot signage
5. Summary: Requires that signage in commuter parking lots owned by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) in Planning District 8 clearly indicate that parking in such lots is only for commuters using mass transit or who are car pool riders.
6. Budget Amendment Necessary: No
7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Preliminary. See Item 8.
8. Fiscal Implications: This bill would require the update of signage for all commuter parking lots in the Northern Virginia District (Planning District 8) by the effective date of this legislation, July 1, 2016. It is unclear whether state forces would be able to meet the timeframe for sign installation, potentially requiring contractor services. The cost estimate assumes approximately one new sign per 200 spaces in VDOT-owned park and ride lots at a cost of $\$ 1,350$ each ( $\$ 750$ if completed by state forces). VDOT owns 23 park and ride lots in Planning District 8 .

1 sign (200 spaces or less): 5 lots
2 signs (201-400 spaces): 6 lots
3 signs ( $401-600$ spaces): 4 lots
4 signs (601-800 spaces): 5 lots
5 signs (801-1,000 spaces): 2 lots
6 signs (over 1,000 spaces): 1 lot
Total of 65 signs in 23 lots
Total cost $=65$ signs $\times \$ 1,350$ per sign $=\$ 87,750$ (using contractor services)
Total cost $=65$ signs $\times \$ 750$ per sign $=\$ 48,750$ (using state employees)
VDOT would have to redirect funds within its existing operations to cover this cost.
9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected: All localities in Planning District 8, Virginia Department of Transportation, local and state law enforcement agencies, WMATA, other public commuter/ rideshare service agencies.
10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No
11. Other Comments: None

