15101895D

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

11 12

SENATE BILL NO. 1331

Offered January 15, 2015

A BILL to amend and reenact § 56-600 of the Code of Virginia, relating to the Natural Gas Conservation and Ratemaking Efficiency Act; cost-effective programs.

Patron—Petersen

Referred to Committee on Commerce and Labor

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

10 1. That § 56-600 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows:

§ 56-600. Definitions.

As used in this chapter:

"Allowed distribution revenue" means the average annual, weather-normalized, nongas commodity
 revenue per customer associated with the rates in effect as adopted in the applicable utility's last
 Commission-approved rate case or performance-based regulation plan, multiplied by the average number
 of customers served.

17 "Conservation and ratemaking efficiency plan" means a plan filed by a natural gas utility pursuant to18 this chapter that includes a decoupling mechanism.

19 "Cost-effective conservation and energy efficiency program" means a program approved by the 20 Commission that is designed to decrease the average customer's annual, weather-normalized consumption 21 or total gas bill, for gas and nongas elements combined, or avoid energy costs or consumption the customer may otherwise have incurred, and is determined by the Commission to be cost-effective upon 22 23 consideration, among other factors, that the net present value of the benefits exceeds the net present 24 value of the costs under the following four tests: the Total Resource Cost Test, the Program 25 Administrator Test (also referred to as the Utility Cost Test), the Participant Test, and the Ratepayer Impact Measure Test. Such determination shall (i) be made upon evaluation of a portfolio of programs 26 27 as a whole and not upon evaluation of a program or measure on an individual basis and (ii) include an analysis of all four tests, and a program or portfolio of programs shall not be rejected based solely on 28 29 the results of a single test. Without limitation, rate designs or rate mechanisms, customer education, 30 customer incentives, and weatherization programs are examples of conservation and energy efficiency 31 programs that the Commission may consider. Energy efficiency programs that provide measurable and verifiable energy savings to low-income customers or elderly customers may also be deemed cost 32 33 effective.

34 "Decoupling mechanism" means a rate, tariff design or mechanism that decouples the recovery of a 35 utility's allowed distribution revenue from the level of consumption of natural gas by its customers, 36 including (i) a mechanism that adjusts actual nongas distribution revenues per customer to allowed 37 distribution revenues per customer, such as a sales adjustment clause, (ii) rate design changes that 38 substantially align the percentage of fixed charge revenue recovery with the percentage of the utility's 39 fixed costs, such as straight fixed variable rates, provided such mechanism includes a substantial demand 40 component based on a customer's peak usage, or (iii) a combination of clauses (i) and (ii) that 41 substantially decreases the relative amount of nongas distribution revenue affected by changes in per 42 customer consumption of gas.

"Fixed costs" means any and all of the utility's nongas costs of service, together with an authorized
return thereon, that are not associated with the cost of the natural gas commodity flowing through and
measured by the customer's meter.

46 "Natural gas utility" or "utility" means any investor-owned public service company engaged in the47 business of furnishing natural gas service to the public.

48 "Revenue-neutral" means a change in a rate, tariff design or mechanism as a component of a 49 conservation and ratemaking efficiency plan that does not shift annualized allowed distribution revenue between customer classes, and does not increase or decrease the utility's average, weather-normalized 50 51 nongas utility revenue per customer for any given rate class by more than 0.25 percent when compared 52 to (i) the rate, tariff design or mechanism in effect at the time a conservation and ratemaking efficiency 53 plan is filed pursuant to this chapter or (ii) the allocation of costs approved by the Commission in a rate case using the cost of service methodology set forth in § 56-235.2 or a performance-based regulation 54 plan authorized by § 56-235.6, where a plan is filed in conjunction with such case. 55

INTRODUCED