



## Fiscal Impact Statement for Proposed Legislation

### Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

#### House Bill No. 1251

(Patron – Ramadan)

LD#: 14103584 Revised

Date: 1/13/2014

Topic: Sex Offender Registry

#### Fiscal Impact Summary:

- **State Adult Correctional Facilities:**  
\$50,000 \*
- **Local Adult Correctional Facilities:**  
Cannot be determined
- **Adult Community Corrections Programs:**  
Cannot be determined

- **Juvenile Correctional Centers:**  
Cannot be determined
- **Juvenile Detention Facilities:**  
Cannot be determined

\* The estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 806 of the 2013 Acts of Assembly requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

#### Summary of Proposed Legislation:

The proposal amends § 9.1-903, relating to registration procedures for the state's Sex Offender and Crimes against Minors Registry. Currently, under § 9.1-903(D), any person required to register must also reregister following any change of name. While existing provisions do not specify how quickly offenders who change their name must reregister, the proposed modifications to § 9.1-903 would require that offenders who change their name reregister in person within three days following his change of name. The proposed amendments to § 9.1-908 would require inmates who change their names to notify the Registry within three days following any change of name.

The General Assembly has revisited § 9.1-902, relating to the state's Sex Offender and Crimes against Minors Registry, several times in recent sessions. In the 2007 session, the section was reorganized as part of an expansion of the offenses requiring registration and the information required of registrants (the legislation also restructured the penalties involving child pornography). During the 2006 session, there was an expansion of the offenses requiring registration and the penalties for second or subsequent Registry violations were increased. The 2005, 2008, and 2013 General Assemblies also expanded the offenses requiring registration. Since July 1, 2005, judges have had the authority to require juveniles adjudicated of specified crimes to register as sex offenders if the juvenile was over the age of 13 when the offense was committed.

Under § 18.2-472.1, the first Registry violation committed by an offender who is not defined as sexually violent is punishable as a Class 1 misdemeanor; a second or subsequent Registry violation is a Class 6 felony. The first Registry violation committed by a sexually violent offender is punishable as a Class 6 felony; a second or subsequent Registry violation is a Class 5 felony.

---

**Analysis:**

The General District Court Case Management System (CMS)<sup>1</sup> contains the most recent data for misdemeanor violations related to failing to register or re-register as required. In fiscal year (FY) 2012 and FY2013, 340 offenders were convicted of a misdemeanor for a Registry violation (as the most serious offense). Of these offenders, 44.7% did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing. The median sentence length for the 55.3% who were given a local-responsible (jail) term was two months.

According to the Circuit Court CMS database for fiscal years 2012 and 2013, a felony conviction for a Registry violation under § 18.2-472.1 was the primary, or most serious, offense in 539 sentencing events during this time period. While more than half (56.2%) of these offenders received a local-responsible (jail) sentence (with a median sentence of six months), 22.3% did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve after sentencing. For the remaining 21.5% who were given a state-responsible (prison) term, the median sentence was 1.5 years.

---

**Impact of Proposed Legislation:**

**State adult correctional facilities.** The proposed legislation adds a requirement for registrants to reregister with the Sex Offender and Crimes Against Minors Registry within three days following an individual's name change. By establishing a three-day time limit on how quickly affected offenders must reregister, the proposal could result in additional felony convictions for violations of Registry provisions. In this way, the proposal could increase the future state-responsible (prison) bed space needs of the Commonwealth. However, data do not contain sufficient detail to identify how many sex offender registrants would be affected by the proposal or how many additional felony convictions may result if the proposal is enacted. Therefore, the magnitude of the impact cannot be quantified.

**Local adult correctional facilities.** Similarly, the magnitude of the impact on local-responsible (jail) bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Adult community corrections resources.** The potential impact on community corrections resources cannot be determined.

**Virginia's sentencing guidelines.** Felony convictions under § 18.2-472.1 are covered by the current sentencing guidelines. No adjustment to the guidelines would be necessary under the proposal.

**Juvenile correctional centers.** According to the Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), the impact of the proposal on juvenile correctional center (JCC) bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Juvenile detention facilities.** The Department of Juvenile Justice reports that the proposal's impact on the bed space needs of juvenile detention facilities cannot be determined.

---

**Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 806 of the 2013 Acts of Assembly requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.**

**Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of commitment to the custody of the Department of Juvenile Justice.**

sor10\_3584

---

<sup>1</sup> Formerly referred to as the Court Automated Information System (CAIS).