ENGROSSED

HB1593E

ENGROSSED

HOUSE BILL NO. 1593 1 House Amendments in [] - January 24, 2011 2 3 A BILL to amend and reenact § 19.2-70.3 of the Code of Virginia, relating to court-ordered disclosure 4 of electronic communication service records; sealing of order. 5 Patron Prior to Engrossment-Delegate Iaquinto 6 7 Referred to Committee for Courts of Justice 8 9 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia: 10 1. That § 19.2-70.3 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows: § 19.2-70.3. Obtaining records concerning electronic communication service or remote computing 11 12 service. 13 A. A provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service, which, for purposes 14 of subdivisions A 2 through A 4, includes a foreign corporation that provides such services, shall 15 disclose a record or other information pertaining to a subscriber to or customer of such service, 16 excluding the contents of electronic communications, to an investigative or law-enforcement officer only 17 pursuant to: 1. A subpoena issued by a grand jury of a court of this Commonwealth; 18 19 2. A search warrant issued by a magistrate, general district court or a circuit court; 20 3. A court order for such disclosure issued as provided in this section; or 21 4. The consent of the subscriber or customer to such disclosure. 22 B. A court shall issue an order for disclosure under this section [- upon application of the attorney 23 for the Commonwealth in an ex parte proceeding,] only if the investigative or law-enforcement officer shows that there is reason to believe the records or other information sought are relevant and material to 24 25 an ongoing criminal investigation, or the investigation of any missing child as defined in § 52-32, missing senior adult as defined in § 52-34.4, or an incapacitated person as defined in § 37.2-1000 who 26 27 meets the definition of a missing senior adult except for the age requirement. Upon issuance of an order 28 for disclosure under this section, the order and any written application or statement of facts may be 29 temporarily sealed by the court upon [a showing of good cause application of the attorney for the 30 *Commonwealth in an ex parte proceeding for good cause shown*]. A court issuing an order pursuant to this section, on a motion made promptly by the service provider, may quash or modify the order, if the 31 32 information or records requested are unusually voluminous in nature or compliance with such order 33 would otherwise cause an undue burden on such provider. 34 C. A provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service, including a foreign 35 corporation that provides such services, shall disclose the contents of electronic communications to an 36 investigative or law-enforcement officer only pursuant to a search warrant issued by a magistrate, a 37 juvenile and domestic relations district court, a general district court, or a circuit court, based upon 38 complaint on oath supported by an affidavit as required in § 19.2-54, or judicial officer or court of any 39 of the several states of the United States or its territories, or the District of Columbia when the warrant 40 issued by such officer or such court complies with the provisions of subsection E. In the case of a 41 search warrant directed to a foreign corporation the affidavit shall state that the complainant believes 42 that the records requested are actually or constructively possessed by a foreign corporation that provides electronic communication service or remote computing service within the Commonwealth of Virginia. If 43 44 satisfied that probable cause has been established for such belief and as required by Chapter 5 (§ 19.2-52 et seq.), the magistrate, the juvenile and domestic relations district court, the general district 45 46 court, or the circuit court shall issue a warrant identifying those records to be searched for and 47 commanding the person seeking such warrant to properly serve the warrant upon the foreign corporation. D. In order to comply with the requirements of § 19.2-54, any search of the records of a foreign 48 49 corporation shall be deemed to have been made in the same place wherein the search warrant was 50 issued. 51 E. A Virginia corporation or other entity that provides electronic communication services or remote

52 computing services to the general public, when properly served with a search warrant and affidavit in 53 support of the warrant, issued by a judicial officer or court of any of the several states of the United States or its territories, or the District of Columbia with jurisdiction over the matter, to produce a record 54 55 or other information pertaining to a subscriber to or customer of such service or the contents of electronic communications, or both, shall produce the record or other information or the contents of 56 57 electronic communications as if that warrant had been issued by a Virginia court. The provisions of this 58 subsection shall only apply to a record or other information or contents of electronic communications

relating to the commission of a criminal offense that is substantially similar to (i) a violent felony as 59 defined in § 17.1-805, (ii) an act of violence as defined in § 19.2-297.1, (iii) any offense for which 60 registration is required pursuant to § 9.1-902, (iv) computer fraud pursuant to § 18.2-152.3, or (v) 61 62 identity theft pursuant to § 18.2-186.3. The search warrant shall be enforced and executed in the 63 Commonwealth as if it were a search warrant described in subsection C.

F. The provider of electronic communication service or remote computing service may verify the 64 65 authenticity of the written reports or records that it discloses pursuant to this section, excluding the contents of electronic communications, by providing an affidavit from the custodian of those written 66 reports or records or from a person to whom said custodian reports certifying that they are true and 67 68 complete and that they are prepared in the regular course of business. When so authenticated, the written 69 reports and records are admissible in evidence as a business records exception to the hearsay rule.

70 G. No cause of action shall lie in any court against a provider of a wire or electronic communication 71 service, its officers, employees, agents, or other specified persons for providing information, facilities, or assistance in accordance with the terms of a court order, warrant or subpoena under this section. 72 73

H. For the purposes of this section:

74 "Foreign corporation" means any corporation or other entity, whose primary place of business is 75 located outside of the boundaries of the Commonwealth, that makes a contract or engages in a terms of service agreement with a resident of the Commonwealth to be performed in whole or in part by either 76 77 party in the Commonwealth, or a corporation that has been issued a certificate of authority pursuant to 78 § 13.1-759 to transact business in the Commonwealth. The making of the contract or terms of service 79 agreement or the issuance of a certificate of authority shall be considered to be the agreement of the 80 foreign corporation or entity that a search warrant or subpoena, which has been properly served on it, has the same legal force and effect as if served personally within the Commonwealth. 81

"Properly served" means delivery of a search warrant or subpoena by hand, by United States mail, by 82 83 commercial delivery service, by facsimile or by any other manner to any officer of a corporation or its 84 general manager in the Commonwealth, to any natural person designated by it as agent for the service 85 of process, or if such corporation has designated a corporate agent, to any person named in the latest 86 annual report filed pursuant to § 13.1-775.