

# **Fiscal Impact Statement for Proposed Legislation**

## Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission

Senate Bill No. 300 (Patron – Miller, J.C.)

**LD #:** <u>10103327</u> **Date:** <u>1/13/2010</u>

**Topic:** <u>Involuntary manslaughter and driving under the influence relating to person 18 or younger</u>

#### **Fiscal Impact Summary:**

- State Adult Correctional Facilities: \$50.000\*
- Local Adult Correctional Facilities: \$16,158 (1 bed)
- Adult Community Corrections Programs:
  Cannot be determined
- Juvenile Correctional Centers: Cannot be determined
- Juvenile Detention Facilities: Cannot be determined

## **Summary of Proposed Legislation:**

The proposal amends §§ 16.1-278.9, 18.2-36.1, 18.2-51.4 and 18.2-270, and adds § 18.2-270.02, to impose or increase mandatory minimum sentences and expand the application of statutes when the victim or passenger is 18 years of age or younger.

Under the proposal, when the victim is a person 18 years of age or younger, any violation of § 18.2-36.1 is considered to be aggravated involuntary manslaughter. This would increase the penalty from a Class 5 felony to a felony with a 20-year statutory maximum and a one-year mandatory minimum. If the aggravated involuntary manslaughter shows a reckless disregard for life, the statutory minimum would increase under the proposal from one to two years, with the mandatory minimum term remaining at one year.

The penalty for maiming a person up to 18 years of age as a result of driving while intoxicated (DWI), in violation of § 18.2-51.4, would increase from a Class 6 to a Class 5 felony. Currently, there is no increase in penalty based on the victim's age.

The mandatory minimum sentence for DWI, while transporting a person up to 18 years of age, would increase under § 18.2-270.02, from five to 10 days (in addition to any other mandatory term required by § 18.2-270). For a second DWI while transporting a person up to 18 years of age, the penalty increases from a statutory maximum of one year to a Class 6 felony with a minimum fine of \$1000 and a 90-day mandatory period of confinement.

#### **Analysis:**

The fiscal year (FY) 2007 and FY2008 Pre/Post-Sentence Investigation (PSI) database indicates that 60 offenders were convicted under § 18.2-36.1 as the primary, or most serious offense, during this time

<sup>\*</sup> The estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 781 of the 2009 Acts of Assembly requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

period. Although the age of the victim was missing in 15 cases, six cases were identified as having a victim 18 years of age or less. In three of the six cases, the defendant was convicted of involuntary manslaughter; these offenders received sentences of 11 months, one year, and five years, respectively. In the other three cases, the defendants were convicted of aggravated involuntary manslaughter; all were given prison terms, with sentences of 3.7 years, 7.9 years, and 10 years.

Also based on PSI data for this time period, 22 offenders were convicted under § 18.2-51.4 and two victims were identified to be 18 years old. These offenders received sentences of 1.0 and 4.0 years.

According to the Local Inmate Data System (LIDS) for calendar years 2007 and 2008, a misdemeanor DWI while transporting a child was the most serious offense for 506 offenders held pre- or post-trial in jail during the two-year period. Almost all (92%) of the 330 offenders convicted of a first DWI while transporting a child were given a jail term, with a median sentence of 8 days; however, 54% of the offenders were given less than the proposed 10-day mandatory minimum sentence. Of the 176 convicted of a second DWI while transporting a child, 835 were given a jail term with a median sentence of 30 days; however, 78% were given less than the proposed 90 days.

Another 50 offenders were convicted of a felony DWI while transporting a child. Half (50%) of the offenders received a state-responsible (prison) term with a median sentence of slightly more than two years. Nearly 42% received a local-responsible (jail) term with a median sentence of six months; the remaining 8% did not receive an active term of incarceration to serve.

## **Impact of Proposed Legislation:**

**State adult correctional facilities.** Because it increases penalties and adds or increases mandatory minimum sentences for specified crimes under certain circumstances, the proposed legislation may increase the future state-responsible (prison) bed space needs of the Commonwealth. However, the databases available to the Commission do not contain sufficient detail to estimate the number of convictions likely to be affected by the proposal. Therefore, the magnitude of the impact cannot be quantified.

**Local adult correctional facilities.** The proposal increases the mandatory minimum sentence for DWI while transporting a person up to 18 years of age from 5 days to 10 days for a first offense and, for a second offense, from at least 15 days to 90 days; therefore, it is expected to increase local-responsible (jail) bed space needs. The impact on local-responsible (jail) beds is estimated to be an average of one bed by FY2016 (state costs: \$16,158; local costs: \$17,847).

## Estimated Six-Year Impact in Local-Responsible (Jail) Beds

FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16
1	1	1	1	1	1

**Adult community corrections programs.** Because the proposal could result in convictions and subsequent supervision requirements for an additional number of offenders, the proposal may increase the need for adult community corrections resources. Since the number of cases that may be affected cannot be determined, the potential impact on community corrections resources cannot be quantified.

**Virginia's sentencing guidelines.** The sentencing guidelines cover violations of §§ 18.2-36.1 and 18.2-51.4 that are processed in Virginia's circuit courts. However, convictions under § 18.2-270 in which the offender transported a child are not covered by guidelines as the primary (or most serious) offense. Such a conviction, however, may augment the guidelines recommendation if the most serious

offense at sentencing is covered by the guidelines. No adjustment to the guidelines would be necessary under the proposal.

**Juvenile correctional centers.** According to the Department of Juvenile Justice, the impact of the proposal on juvenile correctional center bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Juvenile detention facilities.** According to the Department of Juvenile Justice, the impact of the proposal on the bed space needs of juvenile detention facilities cannot be determined.

Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities; therefore, Chapter 781 of the 2009 Acts of Assembly requires the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission to assign a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of commitment to the custody of the Department of Juvenile Justice.

## Assumptions underlying the analysis include:

#### **General Assumptions**

- 1. State and local responsibility is based on § 53.1-20 as analyzed for the Secretary of Public Safety's Committee on Inmate Forecasting in 2009.
- 2. Cost per jail bed was based on The Compensation Board's FY2008 Jail Cost Report. The state cost was calculated from the revenue portion and the resulting sum was \$29.93 per day or \$10,932 per year. The local cost was calculated by using the daily expenditure cost of \$67.99 per inmate (not including capital accounts or debt service) as the base, and subtracting revenues accrued from the state and federal governments, which resulted in \$33.06 per day or \$12,075 per year. Where the estimated bed space impact included a portion (or fraction) of a bed, a prorated cost was included in the estimate.

### **Assumptions relating to offenders**

- 1. Offenders currently convicted of a DWI while transporting a child and sentenced to 10 days or more were assumed to have no impact under the proposal.
- 2. Offenders currently convicted of a DWI while transporting a child and sentenced to 5 days to less than 10 days were assumed to have served the appropriate number of days under current law and 10 days under the proposal.
- 3. Offenders currently convicted of a DWI while transporting a child who had a sentence recorded as less than 5 days were assumed to have served 5 days under current law and 10 days under the proposal.

#### Assumptions relating to sentencing

- 1. The impact of the proposed legislation, which would be effective on July 1, 2010, is phased in to account for case processing time.
- 2. The local-responsible bed-space impact was derived by estimating the difference between expected dates of release under current law and under the proposed legislation.

agginvolman02\_3327