## Department of Planning and Budget 2010 Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill Number	r: HB 10	080					
	House of Orig	in <u>X</u>	Introduced		Substitute		Engrossed	
	<b>Second House</b>		In Committee		Substitute		Enrolled	
2.	Patron:	Crocket-Stark						
3.	Committee:	House Committee on Militia, Police and Public Safety						
4.	Title:	Police C	haplain; Cert	ifica	tion			

- **5. Summary:** Requires the Department of Criminal Justice Services to develop a voluntary training and certification program for police chaplains. Such program shall include, but not be limited to, training regarding stress management, death notification, substance abuse, ethics, and crisis response. The bill also requires each state and local law-enforcement agency to develop policies and procedures regarding police chaplains in the agency, using model policies to be published by the Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS).
- **6. Fiscal Impact Estimates:** Preliminary (see Item 8)

**6a.** Expenditure Impact:

DAPCHUICUIC IMPACC.							
Fiscal Year	Dollars	<b>Positions</b>	Fund				
2011	\$73,000	1	GF				
2012	\$63,000	1	GF				
2013	\$63,000	1	GF				
2014	\$63,000	1	GF				
2015	\$63,000	1	GF				
2016	\$63,000	1	GF				

- 7. Budget Amendment Necessary: Yes, Item 381
- **8. Fiscal Implications:** According to the DCJS, this legislation would require a job task analysis and the development of regulations approved through the Administrative Process Act. In addition, a model policy would need to be developed. DCJS would be responsible for implementing the chaplain certification program and keeping track of those persons who meet the qualifications for certification. DCJS would also be responsible for issuing certificates and updating certification requirements as warranted. It is anticipated one staff person would be needed to implement and maintain this program. The first year cost includes \$10,000 in start up cost.
- . Currently, there are eight police chaplains at the Department of State Police and an unknown number of chaplains elsewhere in law enforcement.
- 9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected: DCJS, State Police

## 10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No

## 11. Other Comments: None

**Date:** 1/28/2010 dpb

**Document:** G:\2010 FIS\HB1080.Doc Reginald Thompson

cc: