## Department of Planning and Budget 2008 Special Session II Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill Number: HB6001					
	House of Orig	in X	Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed	
	<b>Second House</b>		In Committee	Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron:	Cole				
3.	Committee:					
4.	Title:	Highwa	y construction	ı fund allocation	l•	

**5. Summary:** The proposed legislation would allocate highway construction funds for the primary, secondary and urban systems among the nine highway construction districts on the basis of motor vehicle registrations.

The Code of Virginia details the expenditure of the Transportation Trust Fund. The Code designates the formulas used to distribute the funds among and within the primary, secondary and urban roadway systems in Virginia. Generally, the primary system contains statemaintained roads numbered 599 and below, excluding the interstate system. The secondary system consists of state-maintained roadways numbered 600 and above. Streets within municipalities maintained by cities and towns are grouped into the urban system.

The Code presently designates that primary funds are distributed based on three highway data elements: 70 percent based on the vehicle miles traveled on the primary system, 25 percent based on the construction district's share of primary lane miles in the state and 5 percent based on a needs factor. Secondary system allocations are currently allocated based on 80 percent population and 20 percent of the land area of the county. Urban allocations are distributed to municipalities based on population.

- **6. Fiscal Impact Estimates:** Preliminary. See Item 8.
- 7. Budget Amendment Necessary: None.
- **8. Fiscal Implications:** The bill would not alter the current overall funding level for each system, but would have the impact of shifting funding between construction districts within each system. The tables on the next pages show the percentage share of each district's funding under the current distribution and that proposed by the bill for each roadway system. (Districts receiving an additional percentage share are in bold.)

## **Primary System**

District	Current	HB 6001 Share	
	Share	(registered vehicles)	Difference
Bristol	10.7%	5.3%	(5.4%)
Culpeper	8.7%	5.4%	(3.3%)
Fredericksburg	10.3%	6.9%	(3.4%)
Hampton Roads	7.9%	20.3%	12.4%
Lynchburg	9.4%	5.5%	(3.9%)
Northern Virginia	17.7%	23.4%	5.7%
Richmond	15.9%	15.8%	(0.1%)
Salem	10.6%	9.6%	(1.0%)
Staunton	<u>8.8%</u>	<u>7.7%</u>	(1.1%)
TOTAL	100%	100%	

**Secondary System** 

District	Current	HB 6001 Share	
	Share	(registered vehicles)	Difference
Bristol	7.4%	6.7%	(0.7%)
Culpeper	7.0%	6.9%	(0.1%)
Fredericksburg	8.8%	9.5%	0.7%
Hampton Roads	5.6%	5.0%	(0.6%)
Lynchburg	7.1%	5.9%	(1.2%)
Northern Virginia	29.4%	28.8%	(0.6%)
Richmond	17.4%	18.4%	1.0%
Salem	9.6%	10.3%	0.7%
Staunton	<u>7.7%</u>	<u>8.5%</u>	0.8%
TOTAL	100%	100%	

## **Urban System**

District	Current Share	HB 6001 Share (registered vehicles)	Difference
Bristol	2.7%	2.1%	(0.6%)
Culpeper	2.5%	1.9%	(0.6%)
Fredericksburg	0.8%	1.0%	0.2%
<b>Hampton Roads</b>	52.5%	56.7%	4.2%
Lynchburg	4.9%	4.4%	(0.5%)
Northern Virginia	11.1%	10.6%	(0.5%)
Richmond	10.3%	9.5%	(0.8%)
Salem	8.9%	8.0%	(0.9%)
Staunton	<u>6.4%</u>	<u>5.8%</u>	(0.6%)
TOTAL	100%	100%	

- **9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:** All counties, cities and certain towns in Virginia, Department of Transportation
- 10. Technical Amendment Necessary: None.
- **11. Other Comments:** As the bill is currently worded, it appears that the vehicle registrations to be used to perform the calculation for primary system allocations is that of the entire construction district, which will include vehicles registered in counties, cities and towns.

**Date:** 6/23/2008 dpb/rwh

**Document:** G:\LEGIS\fis-08-II\HB6001.doc

cc: Secretary of Transportation