

## Department of Planning and Budget 2008 Fiscal Impact Statement

**1. Bill Number:** SB658

House of Origin      X      Introduced                  Substitute                  Engrossed  
Second House                 In Committee              Substitute                  Enrolled

**2. Patron:**        Howell

**3. Committee:** Education and Health

**4. Title:**            **Comprehensive Services Act Program; case management and residential care plan.**

**5. Summary:** Comprehensive Services Act Program; case management and residential care plan. Requires the State Executive Council to develop, and localities to implement, a program of case management for residential care to include a provision for residential care plans. Requires family assessment and planning teams to develop, and community policy and management teams to review, residential care plans that include goals for residential care treatment, a provision for monitoring and review of plans, evaluation of progress toward identified goals, and a plan for returning the youth to his home or community at the earliest appropriate time.

**6. Fiscal Impact Estimates:** None

**7. Budget Amendment Necessary:** No

**8. Fiscal Implications:** There should not be an overall fiscal impact. When case management for youth in residential care is done effectively based on uniform statewide standards, there will be an initial cost for purchasing the service through CSA. However, this service is an effective tool to help reduce residential care costs and control the rate of growth in overall state and local CSA expenditures. As children are returned home at the earliest appropriate time or prevented from going into unnecessary residential placements through providing community services and case management, then overall residential care costs should be reduced through fewer numbers of children and shorter lengths of stay in residential care. The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission found that residential services are four times more costly than community services (average annual cost of \$48,129 vs \$11,360 respectively in 2005, including state, local and Medicaid funds).

**9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:**

- CSA State Executive Council
- Office of Comprehensive Services for At Risk Youth and Families
- Virginia Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services
- Local governments administering CSA services
- Local CSA teams
- Community services boards
- Local departments of social services

- Court services units
- Local school divisions

**10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No**

**11. Other Comments:** This bill is a companion to HB503.

**Date:** 1/17/2008 eee

**Document:** G:\FY2008\FIS\CSA\FIS\SB658.Doc Emily Ehrlichmann

cc: Secretary of Health and Human Resources