# **DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION 2007 Fiscal Impact Statement**

	Patron Nick Rerras  Committee Senate Finance	2.	Bill Number SB1063 House of Origin: X Introduced	
4.	<b>Title</b> Real Estate Tax: Limitation on Tax Rate		Substitute Engrossed  Second House: In Committee Substitute Enrolled	
5.	Summary/Purpose:			
	This bill would mandate that a county, city, or town may not set its real property tax for any tax year at a rate that would produce more than 105% of the previous year's total real property tax levies for such county, city or town.			
	Under current law, the annual growth in a locality's annual assessment, biennial assessment or ger provided the locality holds a public hearing in regard	neral	I reassessment is not capped,	
	The provisions of this bill would apply to real propert or after January 1, 2008.	ty ta	x rates for tax years beginning on	
6.	iscal Impact Estimates are: Not available. (See Line 8.)			
7.	Budget amendment necessary: No.			
8.	Fiscal implications:			
	There would be no state revenue impact associat limited in the rate of the real estate tax that they ma			
9.	Specific agency or political subdivisions affected	gency or political subdivisions affected:		
	All localities.			
10. Technical amendment necessary: No.				

#### 11. Other comments:

#### Background on Real Estate Tax Rates

Currently, when an annual assessment, biennial assessment or general reassessment of real property by a locality would result in an increase of one percent or more in the total real property tax levied, the locality must reduce its real estate tax rate so as to produce no more than 101 percent of the previous year's total real property tax revenues. Additional assessments or reassessments due to the construction of new or other improvements are excluded from this calculation. As an alternative to lowering the tax rate to the calculated rate, the governing body of the locality may, after holding a public hearing, vote to set the real estate tax rate at a different rate, which may be higher or lower than the calculated rate. State law does not limit this rate.

### **Proposal**

This bill would mandate that the total tax revenue in a locality not exceed 105% of the total tax revenue in the locality in the immediately prior year.

## Similar Legislation

**House Bill 1888** is similar to this bill, but would provide an exception to this prohibition if the rate increase is approved by at least a two-thirds majority vote of the governing body at the conclusion of a public hearing regarding the locality's rate of levy on real property.

**House Bill 1706** would generally provide that no locality may approve a real estate tax rate that would increase its total real estate tax levies by more than three percent from the previous year, with one exception.

**House Bill 1780** would require localities to reduce their real estate tax rates for the forthcoming tax year when an annual assessment, biennial assessment or general reassessment of real property by the locality would result in an increase of 1 percent or more in the total real property tax levied. The tax rate would be required to be lowered so that it would produce no more than 101 percent of the previous year's real property tax.

**Senate Bill 899** would cap the city of Norfolk's increase in its real property levy to 105 percent of the previous year's total.

cc : Secretary of Finance

Date: 1/16/2007 KP SB1063F161