DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1	BillNumber	SB1019
	KIIIVIIIMNAT	VRIIIU
1.	DIIII TUIIIIVCI	001017

HouseofOrigin	⊠Introduced	☐ Substitute	☐ Engrossed
SecondHouse	☐ InCom mittee	Substitute	Enrolled

- **2. Patron** Mims
- **3.Committee** Finance
- **4. Title** Drivingwhileintoxicated; penalty.

5. Summary/Purpose:

§19.2- 270currentlydoesnotnoteafineforpersonsf orthefirstDUIconviction,exceptwhatisimposed fromaClass1misdemeanorconviction.However,thisbillimposesa\$250mandatoryminimumfine.In addition,anypersoncurrentlyconvictedofasecondoffensecommittedwithintenyearsafterthefirs toffenseshallbefinedupto\$200.HB1019increasesthesecondoffensefineby\$300,to\$500.Also,for anypersonconvictedofthreeormoreoffenses,shallbefinedamandatoryminimumfineof\$1,000, whichshallnotbesuspendedbythecourt.

- **6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare:** preliminary, see Item 8.
 - 6b. RevenueImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2002-03	\$0		
2003-04	\$750,450		
2004-05	\$750,450		

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.

8. Fiscalimplications:

According to the Execut ive Office of the Supreme Court, the average fine (the first eleven months of 2002) for a first conviction of DUI is \$231, and for a second offense it is \$355. Under this legislation, first of fense fine scould increase by at least \$19 per conviction, and second of fense fine scould increase by at least \$145 per conviction. Based on recent data, if the number of convictions for first of fense sfor one year continues at \$22,900 (22,900 X \$19 = \$435,100) and second of fense convictions equal 3,900 (3,900 X \$145 = \$565,500) and all fine sare paid, then total anticipated additional revenue would be \$1,000,600. However, collection rates are estimated to be about 75 per cent, or \$750,450. Sufficient data is not available to accurately project third of fense fines, but an ecdotal evidence suggests that these fines could increase by several hundred dollars per conviction.

Funds collected from fines are deposited to the Literary Fund.

9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected: The Courts.

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.

11. Othercomments: SeeHB1702.

Date: 01/22/03/jlm

Document:(DPB G:\Leg03 \SB1019.DOC)