DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	er HB2097				
	HouseofOr	igin Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed		
	SecondHou	se InCo mmittee	Substitute	Enrolled		
2.	Patron	McQuigg				
3.0	Committee	GeneralLaws				
4.	Title	Administrationofgove	rnment;long -terr	mplanning;RoadmapforVirginia		

5. Summary/Purpose:

Establisheslong -term, results based planning for stategovernment through the implementationofthe"RoadmapforVirginia'sFuture"processthatincludes:(i)developing asetofguidingprinciplesthatarereflectiveofpublicsentimentandrelevanttoc ritical decision-making,(ii)establishingalong -termvisionfortheCommonwealth,(iii)conducting asituationanalysesofcorestateservicecategories,(iv)settinglong -termobjectivesforstate services,(v)aligningstateservicestothelong -termo bjectives, (vi) instituting a planning and performancemanagementsystemconsistingofstrategicplanning,performance measurement, program evaluation, and performance budgeting, and (vii) performing plan adjustmentsbasedonpublicinputandevaluationof theresultsoftheRoadmap.Thebill alsoestablishestheCouncilonVirginia'sFuturetoadvisetheGovernorandtheGeneral Assembly on the implementation of the Roadmap for Virginia's Future process and repeals thePerformanceManagementAdvisoryComm ittee.

Inaddition, the billest ablishes the Government Performance and Results Act which requires each state agency to develop a strategic plan and provides for the Governor to submit, with the Budget Bill, strategic plan information and performance - measurement results for each agency and for the Appropriations Committee of the House of Delegates and the Finance Committee of the Senate to include agency strategic plan information and performance - measurement results when considering the budget. The strategic plans would be phased in overaperiod of three years beginning July 1, 2003.

Thebill, if enacted, will expire July 1,2008.

6. FiscalImpactEstimates:SeeNo.8below.

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:

Yes.ForDPBtoprovidestaffsupportfort Council, which would be a new agency.

heCouncil, and to establish appropriations for the

8. Fiscalimplications:

The bill will have a direct fiscal impact in two areas:

- CouncilonVirginia'sFuture
- DepartmentofPlanningandBudget

In addition, the rewill be indirect costs on state agencies and in stitutions of higher education.

CouncilonVirginia'sFuture .

The proposale stablishes the Council and requires the Council to meet at least four times per year.TheCouncilwillconsist of 17 members, 10 of which are members of the legislative branchorciviliansappointed by the legislative branch. The cost for the four meetings is estimatedtobeatleast\$10,510.Thisestimateassumesfourone -daymeetings.However,some oftheduti esoftheCouncilcouldbemoretime -consuming and require additional meetings that are not included in this estimate. Two examples of the proposed duties that could be time consumingaretherequirementstocompleteasituationalanalysisonallcoreser viceareasof stategovernmentandtomonitorimplementationoftheperformance -managementsystemacross stategovernment. Thus, the estimates of the Council's costs are conservative. By way of comparison, the budget for the State Competition Council, a Councilwith15members,is \$28,000excludingthefulltimestaff.InthecaseoftheCouncilonVirginia'sFuture,fulltime staffingwillbeprovidedbyDPB.

AnnualCostEstimate(fourone -daymeetingsoftheCouncil)

m 1	Φ2 210	, C 100 '1 (20.5		
Travel	\$2,210	Assumesanaverageof 100milesperpersonat32.5		
		centsand4meetings		
Expenses	\$1,700	Lunchat\$25for4meetings		
Compensation \$2,600		Assumes13membersat\$50perdayfor4meetings.		
		Nocompensation for cabine tmembers.		
Roomrental	\$4,000	Estimateofrentalspaceforfou rpublicmeetings		
Total	\$10,510			

Department of Planning and Budget (DPB)

Aspartofitsbudgetreductionplans,DPB'spositionlevelwillbereducedby10FTEsinFY 2004. Itisanticipated that three layoffs will occur. In order to effectively execute its responsibilities under this legislation, it is anticipated that DPB would require two additional staff and funding as indicated below:

Salariesand	\$140,500	AssumestwoadditionalFTEswithabasesalaryof	
benefits		\$53,278(m id-band5)	
Website	\$15,000	AdditionalcostsincurredbytheVirginiaInformation	
development		TechnologyAgencyfortheenhancementand	
		operationofawebsiteforthedisseminationof	
		information(one -timecost)	
Printingand	Printingand \$15,000 Fortheexpans ionoftheBudgetBillandth		
postage		printingoftheCouncil'sscorecardandexecutive	
		summary	
Travel	\$260	Assumesanaverageof100milesperpersonat32.5	
		centsandfourmeetings	
TotalDPBcosts	\$170,760		

StateAgencies.

The substitute billreta in smost of the details with regard to a gency strategic planning. It does, however, phase in the planning over a three experience - year period.

Inordertomoreaccuratelyassessthefiscalimpactofthebill,DPBsurveyed15agencies.Of the15agenciessurveyed, 10,ortwo -thirds,indicatedthattheproposalwouldhaveadirectfiscal impactontheiragencies.Thesefiscalimpactsrangedfromhiringparttimestaffinorderto supplementexistingstafftoaddingadditionalfulltimepositions.Oneagencymention edthat duetobudgetreductionsithadlaidoffastaffpersonusedforstrategicplanningandwouldneed toreplacethisindividual.

Basedonthesurvey, there will be additional requirements placed on agencies, which will result in some inherent costs. The surveyed agencies reported an average cost ranging from a low of \$93,000 peragency to a high of \$126,000 peragency. The more complex the agency, the more likely there was a fiscal impact. (In its impacts tatement for the introduced bill, DPB est an average cost of \$8,500 peragency.) Thus, it is obvious that the rewill be inherent costs for some agencies which could be significant.

Therealquestionishowmuchofsuchcostswillbebornebytheagenciesasopportunitycostsin whichthey redirectstafftimeandresourcesfromotheractivitiestomeettherequirementsofthe billversusactualbudgetarycostsinwhichadditionalfundingisprovided. Giventhecurrent budgetarysituation, DPB believesthatitisunlikelythatagencieswill receivemuch, ifany, additionaldirectappropriationsforthispurpose. Therefore, DPB assumesthatmostofthe inherentcostswillcomeintheformofopportunitycoststotheagencies. However, insome agencies, stafftimeandresources redirected ostrategic planning willcome from other priority service activities such as health care to the indigent or lawen forcement activities, etc. Therefore, it is very likely that in the future requests will be made for additional dollars and staff in these priority activities to back fill staff redirected to strategic planning.

Page4

Inthefinalanalysis, it is hard to determine the fiscal budget ary impact to state agencies but the evidence suggests that the rewill be costs, regardless of whether they are funded in the current budget.

9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected:

Allstateagencies.

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:

See reference in Other Comments below in regard to the effective date of the bill.

11. Othercomm ents:

The Councilises tablished as an advisory council within the executive branch of state government. However, ten of these venteen members are legislative members, with the remaining seven appointed by the Governor. Also, an executive agency, the Department of Planning and Budget, is to provide staff support to the Council. Three legislative agencies: Joint Legislative Review and Audit Commission, and the staff softhe House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees are to provide additional ssistance as needed.

TheproposedbillwillbeeffectiveJuly1,2003.Agenciesaredevelopstrategicplansovera 3-yearperiodbeginningJuly1,2003,andendingJuly1,2006,withone -thirdofstate agenciestodosoeachyear.Agenciesaretoprovi deannualstrategicplansbyDecember1to theCouncilontheVirginia'sFuture.TheDecember1datewouldbetoolateforthe strategicplanstohaveimpactonExecutiveBudgetdevelopment,sincetheGovernor's budgetrecommendationsaredueonDecember 20ofeachyear.

TheproposedbillrequiresCounciltosubmit,beginningonNovember1,2004,andannually thereafter,abalancedaccountabilityscorecardtotheGeneralAssemblyandtheGovernor.It isunclearhowthefirstscorecardwillbeabletoad dressstatewideissueswithareviewofall agencystrategicplans.Thebillalsolacksadefinitionof"balancedaccountability scorecard."

Section 2.2-5510 requires each agency to post its strategic plan on the Internet. It is unclear if the public posting of the plan is before final budget decisions are made and submitted in the Governor's budget on December 20. Also, the budget decision process and subsequent General Assembly action could impact, alter or change the agency's proposed strategic plan

Date: 2/3/03jbc

DocumentG: \03BillsFIS \HB2097H1.Doc JimCook

cc:SecretaryofFinance