## **1999 SESSION**

991396666 **SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 481** 1 2 AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE 3 (Proposed by the Senate Committee on Rules 4 5 6 7 on February 2, 1999) (Patron Prior to Substitute—Senator Hanger) Directing the Senate Committee on Finance and the House Committee on Appropriations to examine the efficacy and appropriateness of adjusting Standards of Quality funding for certain small school 8 divisions. 9 WHEREAS, under Article VIII, § 1 of the Virginia Constitution, ultimate responsibility for public 10 education rests with the General Assembly, which is specifically charged with the duties of not only 11 establishing a public school system but also striving to ensure its quality; and WHEREAS, the Standards of Quality (SOQ), prescribed by the Board of Education and revised by the 12 General Assembly, establish minimum educational goals and requirements, and localities may, and often 13 14 do, surpass these Standards; and 15 WHEREAS, recognizing that fiscal authority must bolster the Commonwealth's renewed commitment to quality education, the framers of the 1971 Constitution clarified that the legislative branch must not only 16 17 revise the SOQ prescribed by the Board, but also determine the method of financing public education, and agreed that while the General Assembly would apportion costs, responsibility for funding public 18 19 schools would be shared with localities; and 20 WHEREAS, to meet this constitutional directive, the General Assembly has crafted a complex 21 methodology for the apportionment of the state and local share for the Standards of Quality; and 22 WHEREAS, the product of countless studies and deliberations, Virginia's method for apportioning state 23 and local fiscal responsibility for SOQ programs has evolved over the years to recognize the actual costs 24 of education as well as local fiscal capacity; and WHEREAS, simply described, the Commonwealth's funding plan for SOQ programs requires the 25 calculation of SOQ costs, based on a per pupil cost and average daily membership, an amount to which 26 a weighted formula—the Composite Index of Local Ability to Pay—is applied to determine local ability 27 28 to pay for these mandated programs as well as the Commonwealth's appropriate contribution for each 29 locality: and 30 WHEREAS, created in 1974, the Composite Index of Local Ability to Pay compares three local 31 measures of wealth-real property values, adjusted gross income, and local option sales taxes-to 32 statewide averages and adjusts these indicators by student population and total population; and WHEREAS, the Composite Index of Local Ability to Pay is sometimes criticized because it does not 33 34 reflect variations among local revenue sources or local needs for services; and 35 WHEREAS, the Appropriation Act sets a composite index of .8000 as the maximum index that will 36 be used to compute local shares, thereby guaranteeing a minimum state contribution of 20 percent in 37 those localities with a high fiscal capacity; and 38 WHEREAS, the Appropriation Act has also included other special conditions, such as the designation 39 of Planning District Eight, for certain cost adjustments to reflect competitive salary levels, provisions for 40 the annexation or consolidation of school divisions, and the option of localities whose local adjusted 41 gross income is comprised of at least three percent nonresident income to exclude this income for 42 purposes of computing local ability to pay; and WHEREAS, the 1998 Session considered, but did not pass, legislation allowing any locality or 43 44 localities comprising a school division that has a population of less than 5,000 and less than 1,000 students in average daily membership to elect, as its option, to use the average composite index of local 45 ability to pay of contiguous localities comprising all or part of a school division; and 46 47 WHEREAS, the 1998 Appropriation Act included additional state payments from the general fund as **48** Small School Division Assistance Grants for two school divisions; and 49 WHEREAS, any adjustment of the Composite Index necessitates careful examination of a plethora of educational, financial, legal, and policy issues; now, therefore, be it 50 51 RESOLVED by the Senate, the House of Delegates concurring, that the Senate Committee on Finance and the House Committee on Appropriations be requested to examine the efficacy and 52 appropriateness of adjusting Standards of Quality funding for certain small school divisions. In 53 conducting the study, the Committees shall consider, among other things, current statutory, 54 constitutional, and budget provisions governing the calculation of SOQ costs and funding; current 55 adjustments for SOQ funding; school funding formulas in other states; and such other issues as the 56 57 Committees deem appropriate. The staffs of the Senate Committee on Finance and the House Committee on Appropriations shall 58 59 provide staff support for the study. Technical assistance shall be provided by the Department of

9/5/22 10:33

SJ481S1

Ŋ

## SJ481S1

60 Education. All agencies of the Commonwealth shall provide assistance to the Committees, upon request.

The Committees shall complete their work in time to submit their findings and recommendations to
the Governor and the 2000 Session of the General Assembly as provided in the procedures of the
Division of Legislative Automated Systems for the processing of legislative documents.

64 Implementation of this resolution is subject to subsequent approval and certification by the Joint
65 Rules Committee. The Committee may withhold expenditures or delay the period for the conduct of the
66 study.