1999 SESSION

1	VIRGINIA ACTS OF ASSEMBLY — CHAPTER
2	An Act for the relief of Robert A. Slis and Victoria R. Slis.
3 4	[S 822] Approved
5	Whereas, Robert A. Slis and Victoria R. Slis reside in Banner Plantation subdivision in Spotsylvania
6 7	County; and Whereas the Slices' residence was built in 1001 by the subdivision's developer. Benner Homes, Inc.
8	Whereas, the Slises' residence was built in 1991 by the subdivision's developer, Banner Homes, Inc., with supervisory assistance from Globe USA, Inc.; and
9	Whereas, Jenkins Plumbing and Heating ("Jenkins") was hired in May 1991 to install an onsite
10 11	sewage disposal system for the residence; and Whereas, at that time neither Banner Homes nor Jenkins was a licensed contractor; and
12	Whereas, the sewage disposal system construction permit for the lot required that the system contain
13 14	trenches with a width of three feet and a total drainfield area of 1,440 square feet; and Whereas, Jenkins installed the sewage disposal system with trenches with a width of two feet and a
15	total drainfield area of 780 square feet; and
16 17	Whereas, Jenkins filed a completion certificate with the Spotsylvania County Health Department stating that the sewage disposal system was installed correctly; and
18	Whereas, due to the wooded nature of the lot and the desire to preserve trees while reducing the cost
19 20	of moving dirt during construction, the Health Department granted the contractor permission to backfill the trenches as dug, a practice which is no longer allowed; and
21	Whereas, the sewage disposal system was inspected and approved by an employee of the Health
22 23	Department on May 24, 1991; and Whereas, the Health Department inspector negligently failed to notice the gross disparities between
24	the work done by Jenkins and the requirements of the construction permit; and
25 26	Whereas, based on either the negligent inspection of the system or an unjustified reliance on Jenkins' completion certificate, the Health Department issued a 600-gallon-per-day operations permit for a
27	four-bedroom residence on June 19, 1991; and
28 29	Whereas, on August 14, 1991, the Slises contracted to purchase the residence, and they acquired their home on September 24, 1991; and
30	Whereas, in late 1995 the Slises noticed seepage in the lower part of their back yard, which they
31 32	attempted to address by filling with dirt; and Whereas, when the seepage continued, the Slises began to suspect a septic system leak, and contacted
33	two contractors to determine the nature of the problem; and
34 35	Whereas, the contractors unsuccessfully attempted to fix the problem by balancing the system's distribution box; and
36	Whereas, the Slises reported the system failure to the Spotsylvania County Health Department on
37 38	May 14, 1996; and Whereas, a Health Department inspector inspected the site and, on May 21, 1996, issued a repair
39 40	permit for the system requiring the installation of a pump and other work estimated to cost over \$7,900; and
41	Whereas, in order to alleviate the leakage, the Slises were directed by the Health Department to
42 43	disconnect their water treatment system from the septic system; and Whereas, when the Health Department was not able to provide an as-built drawing of the system,
44	Mr. Slis contracted to have the drainfield measured; and
45 46	Whereas, the measurement of the drainfield revealed that the trenches were two feet wide rather than the required three feet wide, and that the area of the drainfield was 780 square feet rather than 1,440
47	square feet; and
48 49	Whereas, in June 1996 Jenkins admitted to the Health Department and Mr. Slis that the system was not installed in accordance with the terms of the sewage disposal system construction permit; and
50	Whereas, in August 1996 the Slises received an offer for the purchase of their residence, but the sale
51 52	was not concluded because of the failure of the sewage disposal system; and Whereas, on August 21, 1996, the Slises filed a claim with the Division of Risk Management under
53	the Virginia Tort Claims Act seeking the cost of the system repairs, based on the Health Department's
54 55	negligent inspection of the sewage disposal system; and Whereas, the Slises' claim was denied on August 23, 1996, on grounds that the one-year period for
56	filing the claim commenced to run when they purchased the residence in September 1991, and was thus

SB822ER

57 barred by the statute of limitations; and

58 Whereas, on September 24, 1996, the Slises filed a complaint with the Department of Professional 59 and Occupational Regulation seeking payment from the Contractor Transaction Recovery Fund for the 60 cost of repairing their sewage disposal system; and

61 Whereas, the Contractor Transaction Recovery Fund can be accessed only to satisfy judgments 62 against a licensed contractor for improper and dishonest conduct; and

Whereas, the Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation determined that it did not 63 have jurisdiction in this matter because the Slises had not obtained a judgment against Jenkins or the 64 builder and, though Jenkins obtained a contractor's license in January 1995, neither Jenkins nor the 65 66 builder was a licensed contractor when the work was performed; and

67 Whereas, though Jenkins' failure to obtain a contractor's license was punishable as a misdemeanor, 68 the one-year statute of limitations for prosecuting the misdemeanor had lapsed; and

Whereas, the implied warranty on newly constructed dwellings established by § 55-70.1 of the Code 69 70 of Virginia does not cover this situation because the defects in the sewage disposal system were not 71 discovered within one year following the Slises' purchase of their residence; and

72 Whereas, while the Onsite Sewage Indemnification Fund established pursuant to § 32.1-164.1:01 of 73 the Code of Virginia provides relief to property owners whose sewage disposal system fails as the result 74 of negligence of the Department of Health, the Fund cannot be accessed in this case because the failure 75 did not occur within three years after construction; and

76 Whereas, efforts by the Slises to negotiate a solution to this situation with Jenkins, Banner Homes, 77 and Globe USA, Inc., during 1997 failed when Jenkins announced he was scheduled to have surgery for 78 a brain tumor and would not participate in any compromise solution; and

79 Whereas, the period of limitations for the Slises to bring any legal or administrative proceeding 80 against any of the parties responsible for the improper installation of the sewage disposal system has expired; and 81

Whereas, on September 15, 1997, the Health Department issued a second repair permit for repairs to 82 83 the Slises' sewage disposal system which, by using additional gravity-fed lines and eliminating the requirement for installation of a pump, reduced the estimated cost of repairing the system to \$5,500; and 84

85 Whereas, in February 1998 the Health Department conducted a hearing to determine if further action should be taken regarding the operations permit for the Slises' sewage disposal system, at which it 86 concluded that that (i) since the disconnection of the water treatment system from the septic system and 87 the reduction in water use by the Slises, the system has not shown further signs of malfunction; (ii) the 88 89 installed system is inadequate for the four-bedroom, 600-gallon-per-day water usage permitted; and (iii) 90 the installed system is sufficient only for a two-bedroom permit; and

91 Whereas, on February 11, 1998, the Health Department revoked the operations permit for the Slises' 92 sewage disposal system and issued a replacement permit reducing permitted usage from 600 gallons per 93 day to 300 gallons per day; and

94 Whereas, the Slises may re-obtain a four-bedroom, 600-gallon-per-day operations permit if they 95 repair the sewage disposal system in accordance with the repair permit issued September 15, 1997; and

96 Whereas, the value of the Slises' residence is substantially reduced by the revocation of the original 97 operations permit and issuance of the replacement permit allowing occupancy only with a 98 300-gallon-per-day discharge capacity; and

99 Whereas, in addition to the \$5,500 needed to perform the repairs to their sewage disposal system, the 100 Slises have spent \$325 to balance the system's distribution box and measure and locate the drainfield; 101 and

102 Whereas, Robert A. Slis and Victoria R. Slis have no other means to obtain adequate relief except by 103 action of this body; now, therefore, 104

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

105 1. § 1. That there is hereby allocated from the Onsite Sewage Indemnification Fund the sum of \$5,825 106 for the relief of Robert A. Slis and Victoria R. Slis, to be paid by check issued by the State Treasurer on 107 warrant of the Comptroller to Robert A. Slis and Victoria R. Slis, upon execution by Robert A. Slis and

108 Victoria R. Slis of a release of all claims they may have against the Commonwealth or any of its

109 political subdivisions or their officers, employees, or agents in connection with the aforesaid occurrence.