1999 SESSION

ENGROSSED

HJ660E

999267376 **HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 660** 1 2 House Amendments in [] — February 7, 1999 3 Requesting the Virginia Delegation to the Chesapeake Bay Commission to study issues relating to the 4 uses of state-owned bottomlands and the water column, including leases for aquaculture operations 5 6 7 and submerged aquatic vegetation restoration. Patrons-Murphy, Bloxom and Jones, J.C.; Senators: Bolling and Gartlan 8 9 Referred to Committee on Chesapeake and Its Tributaries 10 WHEREAS, pursuant to House Joint Resolution No. 283 (1998), the Virginia Delegation to the 11 Chesapeake Bay Commission undertook a study regarding potential impacts on submerged aquatic 12 vegetation from certain fishing activities [including and] aquaculture; and 13 WHEREAS, as a result of that study, issues surfaced regarding the use of state-owned bottomland 14 15 and the adequacy of the leasing system of state-owned bottomland to accommodate intensive shellfish 16 culture operation; and 17 WHEREAS, issues have been raised by the aquaculture industry and others regarding the adequacy of existing laws governing leases for the use of state-owned bottomland to address the particular needs 18 19 of their industry; and 20 WHEREAS, a committee convened by the Marine Resources Commission pursuant to House Joint 21 Resolution No. 449 (1995) and reporting in House Document 15 (1996) identified a series of issues 22 related to leasing including, but not limited to, reducing the size of individual leases, increasing the annual rent, decreasing the duration of leases, strengthening the "proof of use" requirement, defining the 23 24 necessity of a "water column" lease for certain types of activities, and developing a lease classification 25 system that differentiates between intended uses; and WHEREAS, traditional uses of leased areas should continue; and 26 27 WHEREAS, issues related to submerged aquatic vegetation restoration are linked to other 28 on-bottomland uses in shallow-water areas with good water quality; and 29 WHEREAS, current Marine Resources Commission guidance for granting leases seeks to avoid 30 impacts on submerged aquatic vegetation but does not address areas where submerged aquatic vegetation might be restored on leased grounds; now, therefore, be it 31 32 RESOLVED by the House of Delegates, the Senate concurring, That the Virginia Delegation to the Chesapeake Bay Commission be requested to study issues relating to the uses of state-owned 33 34 bottomlands and the water column, including leases for aquaculture operations and submerged aquatic 35 vegetation restoration. The Virginia Delegation to the Chesapeake Bay Commission is requested to study 36 the following issues: 37 1. Changes needed in the Code of Virginia to better accommodate leasing for intensive aquaculture 38 operations after consideration of issues raised in House Document 15, among others; 39 2. Development of criteria which the Marine Resources Commission would use to identify areas on 40 state-owned bottomland, not included in the Baylor survey, where leases would be granted for intensive 41 aquaculture operations; and 42 3. Changes needed in the Code of Virginia to allow the Marine Resources Commission to designate 43 submerged aquatic vegetation restoration areas as components of leases contingent on the development of criteria, including geographic criteria, to identify areas likely to support submerged aquatic vegetation 44 45 re-growth and restoration. The Virginia Delegation to the Chesapeake Bay Commission shall consult with representatives of the 46 shellfish aquaculture industry, holders of leases, and other interested persons. The Virginia Marine 47 Resources Commission, the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, the Office of the Attorney General, the **48** 49 Office of the Secretary of Natural Resources, the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and all other agencies of the Commonwealth, shall provide support for the work of the Commission as 50 51 requested. The Commission shall complete its work in time to submit its findings and recommendations to the 52 53 Governor and the 2000 Session of the General Assembly as provided in the procedures of the Division 54 of Legislative Automated Systems for the processing of legislative documents.