1997 SESSION

ENGROSSED

972558432 **HOUSE BILL NO. 1719** 1 2 House Amendments in [] — January 29, 1997 3 A BILL to amend and reenact § 9-6.14:17 of the Code of Virginia, relating to the Administrative 4 5 6 7 8 Process Act; issues on review. Patron—Robinson Referred to Committee on General Laws 9 10 [Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia: 1. That § 9-6.14:17 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows:] 11 § 9-6.14:17. Issues on review. 12 13 A. The burden shall be upon the party complaining of agency action to designate and demonstrate an 14 error of law subject to review by the court. Such issues of law include: (i) accordance with constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity, (ii) compliance with statutory authority, jurisdiction 15 limitations, or right as provided in the basic laws as to subject matter, the stated objectives for which 16 regulations may be made, and the factual showing respecting violations or entitlement in connection 17 with case decisions, (iii) observance of required procedure where any failure therein is not mere 18 harmless error, and (iv) the substantiality of the evidential support for findings of fact. 19 20 B. The determination of such fact issue is to be made upon the whole evidential record provided by 21 the agency if its proceeding was required to be conducted as provided in § 9-6.14:18 or § 9-6.14:12 of 22 this chapter or, as to subjects exempted from those sections, pursuant to constitutional requirement or 23 statutory provisions for opportunity for an agency record of and decision upon the evidence therein. 24 When the decision on review is so to be made on such agency record, the duty of the court with respect 25 to issues of fact is limited to ascertaining whether there was substantial evidence in the agency record upon which the agency as the trier of the facts could reasonably find them to be as it did. Where there 26 27 is no such agency record so required and made, any necessary facts in controversy shall be determined 28 by the court upon the basis of the agency file, minutes, and records of its proceedings under § 9-6.14:7.1 29 or § 9-6.14:11 as augmented, if need be, by the agency pursuant to order of the court or supplemented 30 by any allowable and necessary proofs adduced in court except that the function of the court shall be to determine only whether the result reached by the agency could reasonably be said, on all such proofs, to 31 be within the scope of the legal authority of the agency. Whether such fact issues are reviewed on the 32 agency record or one made in the review action, the court shall take due account of the presumption of 33 34 official regularity, the experience and specialized competence of the agency, and the purposes of the 35 basic law under which the agency has acted. 36 C. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection B, in any case in which a hearing officer for an 37 agency [which is (i) a party to an enforcement proceeding and (ii)] exempt from the provisions of

38 § 9-6.14:14.1 provides a recommendation or conclusion of the case decision, the court may [$\frac{try}{the}$ 39 matter de novo. if it finds that a manifest injustice has occurred or would occur otherwise (i) remand

40 the case to the agency with instructions or (ii) try the case de novo. HB1719E