## Department of Planning and Budget 2020 Special Session I - Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill Numbe	er: HB5011					
	House of Orig	in 🖂	Introduced		Substitute		Engrossed
	<b>Second House</b>		In Committee		Substitute		Enrolled
2.	Patron:	Webert					
3.	Committee:	Education					
4.	Title:	In-person instruction; education vouchers; emergency.					

- 5. Summary: Requires, in the event that any school board does not provide the option of inperson instruction as the sole method of instruction for any enrolled student, the parent of any such student who withdraws his child from attendance to receive, upon request, an education voucher in an amount equal to a prorated share of the applicable Standards of Quality perpupil state funds appropriated for public school purposes and apportioned to the school division, including the per-pupil share of state sales tax funding in basic aid and any state per-pupil share of special education funding for which the child is eligible, to cover the expenses of providing in-person instruction in an alternative setting. The bill permits the Department of Education to establish rules, regulations, or procedures for the issuance of such education vouchers. The bill contains an emergency clause.
- **6. Budget Amendment Necessary**: No
- **7. Fiscal Impact Estimates:** Preliminary, see Item 8.
- **8. Fiscal Implications:** There is no anticipated state fiscal impact resulting from the provisions of this bill. The Department of Education can absorb any administrative costs associated with establishing rules, regulations, and procedures related to the vouchers.

Local school divisions may experience increased administrative costs to administer the vouchers in addition to the loss of funds that are transferred to withdrawn students. Any actual fiscal impact to local school divisions is indeterminate.

- **9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:** Local school divisions, Department of Education
- 10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No
- **11. Other Comments:** The basis of proration in this bill is unclear. It is not clear whether the applicable funds should be prorated based on the date of withdrawal or based on the date of the school closure.