

Department of Planning and Budget 2018 Fiscal Impact Statement

1. Bill Number: HB1055H1

House of Origin	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduced	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/> Engrossed
Second House	<input type="checkbox"/> In Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled

2. Patron: Herring

3. Committee: House Committee for Courts of Justice

4. Title: Written explanation of imposed sentence

5. Summary: The substitute bill requires the judicial performance evaluation report to include data on the number of cases during the evaluation period in which a judge imposed a sentence that is either greater or less than that indicated by the sentencing guidelines and the judge did not file a written explanation of such departure.

The bill also provides that if the court fails to file a written explanation, the person sentenced may petition for a writ of mandamus.

6. Budget Amendment Necessary: No

7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Preliminary (see Item #8)

8. Fiscal Implications: According to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court (OES), the substitute bill is not expected to create a material fiscal impact on the court system.

9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected: Courts

10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No

11. Other Comments: None