REVISED

Department of Planning and Budget 2017 Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill Number:	SB834
1.	Din rumper.	5005-

House of Origin	Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
Second House	In Committee	e 🗌 Substitute	Enrolled

2. Patron: Sturtevant

3. Committee: General Laws and Technology

- **4.** Title: Efficiency and effectiveness review and assessment of state departments, agencies, etc.
- **5. Summary:** Governor; efficiency and effectiveness review and assessment of state departments, agencies, and programs; schedule; scope of review; report. Directs the Governor to establish an ongoing operational and programmatic efficiency and effectiveness review and assessment of all state departments, agencies, and programs to coincide with alternating biennial state budget cycles. The bill schedules one-half of all state departments, agencies, and programs to be reviewed in each biennial budget cycle. The purpose of the review and assessment is to provide an objective and independent cost savings assessment of the Commonwealth's organizational structure and its programs in order to provide information to the Governor and the General Assembly to effect savings in expenditures, a reduction in duplication of effort, and programmatic efficiencies in the operation of state government. The bill requires that the review and assessment be conducted by a United Statesbased private management consulting firm with experience in conducting statewide performance reviews and provides for certain required terms for the consulting contract. The bill requires the Governor to submit a report to the General Assembly on the results of each review and assessment by December 1 of the year in which such review is conducted.

6. Budget Amendment Necessary: Yes

7. Fiscal Impact Estimates:

7a.	Expenditure Impact:			
	Fiscal Year	Dollars	Positions	Fund
	2017	\$5,676,354	0	TBD
	2018	\$5,676,354	0	TBD
	2019	\$5,676,354	0	TBD
	2020	\$5,676,354	0	TBD
	2021	\$5,676,354	0	TBD
	2022	\$5,676,354	0	TBD
	2023	\$5,676,354	0	TBD

8. Fiscal Implications: The bill directs the Governor to establish an ongoing efficiency and effectiveness review of "all state departments, agencies, and programs" using a "private management consulting firm with experience in conducting statewide performance reviews."

Actual cost data were used as the best source of calculating the potential cost of these reviews. The most directly-comparable available cost data are from the Department of Planning and Budget's school efficiency reviews. The average cost of the 10 most recent reviews is \$123,399. This average is then multiplied by the number of state executive branch agencies, estimated at approximately 183 agencies (attached) receiving appropriations. This number represents state executive branch agencies, including higher education. Although the term "state agency" is not defined by the bill, this cost estimate assumes that it excludes legislative, judicial, and independent agencies. The cost estimate also excludes central appropriations, general fund resources and provisions, and state grants to nonstate entities. Lastly, the estimate also excludes "programs," which is not a defined term in the bill. Depending on how that term is defined, a given agency may require multiple reviews, and/or a given review may encompass more than one agency for a broad program such as Medicaid.

SB 834 would require reviews for one half of all state agencies (about 92 agencies) during each biennial budget cycle. The cost per biennium is estimated at \$11,352,708 or \$5,676,354 annually. Sufficient information to establish the appropriate allocation of cost between general fund and nongeneral fund sources is not available at this time.

It is not likely that many agencies can afford to contract these reviews and pay for them from existing appropriations. Consequently, additional funding will be needed by most agencies. The bill states that any savings resulting from implementation of recommendations should first be used to reimburse the general fund or the agency for the cost of the review. Notwithstanding this reimbursement language, the bill may create unreimbursed short-term and long-term costs.

- In the short run, additional appropriations from the general fund may be needed because the consulting firm would be paid during the term of the contract, before any recommendations could be implemented that would produce the savings. And though the general fund could ultimately be reimbursed for any state savings, any federal funds saved would have to be returned to the federal government.
- In the long run, some recommendations may require additional funds to support implementation, or legislative changes to permit implementation. Lastly, some recommendations may not be practical for an agency to implement, and thus no savings would be generated. This could include recommendations that require the involvement of other state or local agencies, particularly as a result of "program" assessments that span multiple agencies.

At this time, it is not possible to estimate cost savings to any agency; therefore, the extent to which any savings could cover any part of the cost of the reviews is uncertain. However, it's likely that most benefits would be realized from the initial review, such that the availability of unique cost savings recommendations will likely diminish in any given agency's second and subsequent reviews after the first biennium.

It should be noted, however, that other cost data suggest a wide range of potential costs is possible. The cost of an individual consulting contract varies based on the size and scope of the project, program, or agency, and the length of the engagement. For example, in FY 2016 the Office of State Inspector General (OSIG) contracted with SC&H Group for two agency performance reviews: a review of the Virginia Information Technologies Agency (VITA) at a cost of \$164,360, and a review of the Virginia Department of Social Services Case Management System at a cost of \$58,760. Similarly, in 2011 the Virginia Department of Corrections paid MGT of America \$354,000 for an agency performance review, which may be a better indicator of cost for larger agencies. Since FY 2011, state agencies have used VITA's contract with Computer Aid Inc. for program and project reviews. As of December 2016, 45 such reviews have been completed, with the costs for individual engagements ranging from \$9,360 to \$899,447. Lastly, the Joint Legislative Audit and Review

Commission retained Mercer Health and Benefits in 2016 to assist with their review of Virginia's Medicaid program, at a cost of \$569,409.

- **9.** Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected: All agencies, departments, and programs.
- 10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No.

11. Other Comments: None.

Attachments

Executive Branch Agencies Used to Create Cost Estimate

1	Augusta Correctional Center
2	Baskerville Correctional Center
3	Bland Correctional Center
4	Blue Ridge Community College
5	Board of Accountancy
6	Buckingham Correctional Center
7	Catawba Hospital
8	Central State Hospital
9	Central Virginia Community College
10	Central Virginia Training Center
11	Children's Services Act
12	Christopher Newport University
13	Coffeewood Correctional Center
14	Commonwealth Center for Children and Adolescents
15	Commonwealth's Attorneys' Services Council
16	Compensation Board
17	Cooperative Extension and Agricultural Research Services
18	Council on Virginia's Future
19	Dabney S. Lancaster Community College
20	Danville Community College
21	Deep Meadow Correctional Center
22	Deerfield Correctional Center
23	Department for Aging and Rehabilitative Services
24	Department for the Blind and Vision Impaired
25	Department for the Deaf and Hard-Of-Hearing
26	Department of Accounts
27	Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
28	Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
29	Department of Aviation
30	Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services
31	Department of Conservation and Recreation
32	Department of CorrectionsCentral Administration
33	Department of Criminal Justice Services
34	Department of Education, Central Office Operations
35	Department of Elections
36	Department of Emergency Management
37	Department of Environmental Quality
38	Department of Fire Programs
39	Department of Forensic Science
40	Department of Forestry
41	Department of Game and Inland Fisheries
42	Department of General Services
43	Department of Health
44	Department of Health Professions
45	Department of Historic Resources
46	Department of Housing and Community Development
47	Department of Human Resource Management
48	Department of Juvenile Justice
49 50	Department of Labor and Industry
50	Department of Medical Assistance Services
51 52	Department of Military Affairs
52 52	Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy
53 54	Department of Motor Vehicles
54	Department of Planning and Budget

55	Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation
56	Department of Rail and Public Transportation
57	Department of Small Business and Supplier Diversity
58	Department of Social Services
59	Department of State Police
60	Department of Taxation
61	Department of the Treasury
62	Department of Transportation
63	Department of Veterans Services
64	Dillwyn Correctional Center
65	Eastern Shore Community College
66	Eastern State Hospital
67	Eastern Virginia Medical School
68	Fluvanna Correctional Center for Women
69	Fort Monroe Authority
70	Frontier Culture Museum of Virginia
71	George Mason University
72	Germanna Community College
73	Green Rock Correctional Center
74	Greensville Correctional Center
75	Gunston Hall
76	Haynesville Correctional Center
77	Hiram Davis Medical Center
78	Indian Creek Correctional Center
79	Innovation and Entrepreneurship Investment Authority
80	Institute for Advanced Learning and Research
81	J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College
82	James Madison University
83	Jamestown-Yorktown Foundation
84	John Tyler Community College
85	Keen Mountain Correctional Center
86	Longwood University
87	Lord Fairfax Community College
88	Lunenburg Correctional Center
89	Marine Resources Commission
90	Marion Correctional Center
91	Motor Vehicle Dealer Board
92	Mountain Empire Community College
93	New College Institute
94	New River Community College
95	Norfolk State University
96	Northern Virginia Community College
97	Northern Virginia Mental Health Institute
98	Northern Virginia Training Center
99	Nottoway Correctional Center
100	Old Dominion University
101	Opportunity Educational Institution
101	Patrick Henry Community College
102	Paul D. Camp Community College
104	Piedmont Geriatric Hospital
105	Piedmont Virginia Community College
106	Pocahontas State Correctional Center
107	Radford University
108	Rappahannock Community College
109	Red Onion State Prison
110	Richard Bland College

- 111 River North Correctional Center
- 112 Roanoke Higher Education Authority
- 113 Secretary of Administration
- 114 Secretary of Agriculture and Forestry
- 115 Secretary of Commerce and Trade
- 116 Secretary of Education
- 117 Secretary of Finance
- 118 Secretary of Health and Human Resources
- 119 Secretary of Natural Resources
- 120 Secretary of Public Safety and Homeland Security
- 121 Secretary of Technology
- 122 Secretary of Transportation
- 123 Secretary of Veterans and Defense Affairs
- 124 Sitter & Barfoot Veterans Care Center
- 125 Southeastern Universities Research Association Doing Business for Jefferson Science Associates
- 126 Southeastern Virginia Training Center
- 127 Southern Virginia Higher Education Center
- 128 Southern Virginia Mental Health Institute
- 129 Southside Virginia Community College
- 130 Southside Virginia Training Center
- 131 Southwest Virginia Community College
- 132 Southwest Virginia Higher Education Center
- 133 Southwestern Virginia Mental Health Institute
- 134 Southwestern Virginia Training Center
- 135 St. Brides Correctional Center
- 136 State Council of Higher Education for Virginia
- 137 Sussex One State Prison
- 138 Sussex Two State Prison
- 139 The College of William and Mary in Virginia
- 140 The Library of Virginia
- 141 The Science Museum of Virginia
- 142 Thomas Nelson Community College
- 143 Tidewater Community College
- 144 Tobacco Indemnification and Community Revitalization Commission
- 145 Treasury Board
- 146 University of Mary Washington
- 147 University of Virginia
- 148 University of Virginia Medical Center
- 149 University of Virginia's College at Wise
- 150 Virginia Board for People with Disabilities
- 151 Virginia Center for Behavioral Rehabilitation
- 152 Virginia Commercial Space Flight Authority
- 153 Virginia Commission for the Arts
- 154 Virginia Commonwealth University
- 155 Virginia Community College System-Central Office
- 156 Virginia Cooperative Extension and Agricultural Experiment Station
- 157 Virginia Correctional Center for Women
- 158 Virginia Correctional Enterprises
- 159 Virginia Economic Development Partnership
- 160 Virginia Employment Commission
- 161 Virginia Foundation for Healthy Youth
- 162 Virginia Highlands Community College
- 163 Virginia Information Technologies Agency
- 164 Virginia Institute of Marine Science
- 165 Virginia Military Institute
- 166 Virginia Museum of Fine Arts

- 167 Virginia Museum of Natural History
- 168 Virginia Parole Board
- 169 Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
- 170 Virginia Port Authority
- 171 Virginia Racing Commission
- 172 Virginia Rehabilitation Center for the Blind and Vision Impaired
- 173 Virginia School for the Deaf and the Blind
- 174 Virginia State University
- 175 Virginia Tourism Authority
- 176 Virginia Veterans Care Center
- 177 Virginia Western Community College
- 178 Virginia-Israel Advisory Board
- 179 Wallens Ridge State Prison
- 180 Western Region Correctional Field Units
- 181 Western State Hospital
- 182 Wilson Workforce and Rehabilitation Center
- 183 Wytheville Community College