

## Department of Planning and Budget 2017 Fiscal Impact Statement

**1. Bill Number:** HB2331

<b>House of Origin</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduced	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/> Engrossed
<b>Second House</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/> Enrolled

**2. Patron:** Heretick

**3. Committee:** Health, Welfare and Institutions

**4. Title:** Community services boards; preadmission screening; regional jail inmates

**5. Summary:** Provides that the duties of a community services board include providing preadmission screening services to inmates incarcerated in a regional jail where the locality served by the community services board is a participant in such jail. The bill allows for one or more of the community services boards that serve such localities to enter into a joint agreement regarding the provision of such services. In the absence of an agreement, each community services board is responsible for providing services to inmates convicted in the locality served by the community services board.

**6. Budget Amendment Necessary:** Yes

**7. Fiscal Impact Estimates:**

**Expenditure Impact:**

<i>FY</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Fund</i>
2017	-	NA
2018	\$1,713,340	General
2019	\$1,713,340	General
2020	\$1,713,340	General
2021	\$1,713,340	General
2022	\$1,713,340	General
2023	\$1,713,340	General

**8. Fiscal Implications:** This bill will directly affect 19 Community Services Boards. These CSBs are not currently serving at least one of the regional jails that individuals in their catchment area would be sent to if incarcerated. Rapphannock-Rapidan CSB would be required to serve multiple Regional Jails that they are not currently serving.

Impact of SB 975 on CSBs Having to Respond to Regional Jails Who House Defendants from  
Their Catchment Area

Regional Jail	CSB Serving Community Where Jail Located	Other CSB Required to Respond	Other CSB Required to Respond	Other CSB Required to Respond
Albemarle/Charlottesville Regional Jail	Region 10			
Blue Ridge Regional Jail (multiple locations)	Horizon	Southside CSB		
Central Virginia Regional Jail	Rappahannock-Rapidan CSB	Region 10		
Hampton Roads Regional Jail	Portsmouth CSB	Hampton-Newport News CSB	Norfolk CSB	Chesapeake CSB
Meherrin River Regional Jail	Southside CSB	District 19 CSB		
Middle Peninsula Regional Jail	MPNN CSB			
Middle River Regional Jail	Valley CSB			
New River Valley Regional Jail	New River Valley CSB	Mt. Rogers CSB		
Northern Neck Regional Jail	MPNN CSB			
Northwestern Regional Jail	Northwestern CSB	Rappahannock-Rapidan CSB		
Pumunkey Regional Jail	Hanover CSB	Rappahannock Area CSB		
Peumansend Creek Regional Jail **	Rappahannock Area CSB	Arlington CSB/RBHA	Prince William CSB	Loudoun CSB
Piedmont Regional Jail	Crossroads CSB			
Prince William/Manassas Regional Jail	Prince William CSB			
Rappahannock Regional Jail	Rappahannock Area CSB			
Rappahannock Shenandoah Regional Jail	Northwestern CSB	Rappahannock Rapidan CSB		
Riverside Regional Jail	District 19	Henrico CSB	Chesterfield CSB	
Rockbridge Regional Jail	Rockbridge Area CSB			
Rockingham/Harrisonburg Regional Jail	Harrisonburg-Rockingham CSB			
Southside Regional Jail	District 19 CSB			
Southwest Virginia Regional Jail (4 locations)	Dependent on location	Dickinson CBB	Cumberland Mountain CSB	Highlands CSB

Virginia Peninsula Regional Jail	Colonial CSB			
Western Tidewater Regional Jail	Western Tidewater CSB			
Western Virginia Regional Jail	Blue Ridge BHA	Piedmont CSB		

\*\* While officially take inmates from listed localities, inmate population has dropped therefore it is unclear how CSBs would be affected.

Currently, the CSB where the jail is located is responsible for prescreening anyone in the jail needing emergency mental health services. This legislation would require the jail from where the charges stem to either do the pre-screening themselves and/or enter into an agreement with the CSB where the jail is located to do the pre-screening for them (presumably at some cost).

In order to ensure availability at the CSBs listed above, and to cover increased demand, each of these CSBs would need at a minimum one additional pre-admission screener (full time equivalent) per additional jail that is being served. A total of 20 additional FTEs would be needed:

A conservative estimate would assume that one FTE would be needed for each of the 19 CSBs affected. In addition, Rappahannock-Rapidan would need a second screener to serve each of the additional regional jails.

It is estimated that the total cost per FTE would be \$85,667.

Average Salary – LCSW in VA <sup>1</sup>	\$ 62,760
Benefits (30% of base salary)	\$ 18,828
Total Personnel Costs	\$ 81,588
Administrative Costs (5% of total)	\$ 4,079
<b>Total Cost per FTE</b>	<b>\$ 85,667</b>

$$\text{\$ 85,667} \quad \text{Per FTE} \quad \times \quad 20 \quad \text{FTE} \quad = \quad \text{\$ 1,713,340}$$

<sup>1</sup> Per salary.com data as of 1/13/2017 (<http://www1.salary.com/VA/Licensed-Clinical-Social-Worker-salary.html>)

It is possible that additional positions would be needed to ensure 24 hour coverage, seven days a week.

While this bill would not necessarily cause a significant increase to the total number of prescreenings done by the CSBs, it will change the CSB responsible for conducting the evaluation. This bill may relieve some pressure on the CSBs that are currently conducting the prescreenings; however there are not adequate resources available to divert funds from those CSBs to others, as many only have one prescreener on staff at any particular shift,

who is also responsible for civil prescreenings.

**9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:** Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services, Department of Corrections, Regional and Local Jails, Community Service Boards and Behavioral Health Authorities

**10. Technical Amendment Necessary:** No

**11. Other Comments:** This bill is a companion to SB975.