## Department of Planning and Budget 2017 Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill Number	r: HB 1628					
	House of Orig	in 🗌	Introduced		Substitute	$\boxtimes$	Engrossed
	<b>Second House</b>		In Committee		Substitute		Enrolled
2.	Patron:	Fowler					
3.	Committee:	Reported from committee					
4.	Title:	Private security business; regulation					

The proposed legislation would make the following changes in the laws related to the regulation of private security businesses:

- Compliance agent—It would delete the requirements that a compliance agent have prior experience in a private security business;
- Required insurance—Currently, a private security business or private security
  services training school must either (i) file with the Department of Criminal Justice
  Services a cash bond, or evidence that it is covered by a surety bond, in an amount
  designated by the agency or (ii) have a liability insurance policy with coverage
  designated by the agency. The proposed legislation would delete the option of filing
  a cash bond or evidence of a surety bond, leaving the sole requirement of being
  covered by a liability insurance policy.
- Judgment—It would delete the current provision in law that, if any person is awarded a judgment against a private security business and that judgment is not satisfied in whole or in part, the person awarded the judgment may bring action on the bond filed with DCJS.
- 6. Budget Amendment Necessary: None.
- 7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: See Item 8 below.
- 8. Fiscal Implications:

5. Summary:

The proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the Department of Criminal Justice services.

9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:

Department of Criminal Justice Services.

10. Technical Amendment Necessary: None.

**11. Other Comments:** The bill has a clause requiring reenactment by the 2018 Session before it would become effective.

**Date:** 1/30/2017