## Department of Planning and Budget 2015 Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill Number	r: SB12	31-ES1				
	House of Orig	in 🗌	Introduced	$\boxtimes$	Substitute	$\boxtimes$	Engrossed
	<b>Second House</b>		In Committee		Substitute		Enrolled
2.	Patron:	Reeves					
3.	Committee:	Courts o	of Justice				
4.	Title:	Cigarette	•	with	intent to distri	bute	contraband; fraudulent purchase

- 5. Summary: Lowers the felony threshold for possession with intent to distribute tax-paid cigarettes from 500 cartons to 200 cartons and creates a criminal offense and civil penalties for purchasing 25 cartons of cigarettes using a forged business license or a forged or invalid Virginia sales and use tax exemption certificate, or a Virginia sales and use tax exemption certificate obtained under false pretenses. An enactment clause states the provisions of the bill shall not become effective unless appropriation effectuating the purposes of the bill is included in a general appropriation passed in 2015 by the General Assembly that becomes law.
- **6. Budget Amendment Necessary**: Yes. Item 385.
- 7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Preliminary.

7a. Expenditure Impact:

Expenditure impact.							
Fiscal Year	Dollars	<b>Positions</b>	Fund				
2015	\$0						
2016	\$50,000		General				
2017	\$0						
2018	\$0						
2018	\$0						
2020	\$0						
2021	\$0						

**8. Fiscal Implications:** According to the Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission the fiscal impact resulting from the proposal cannot be determined for state correctional facilities, local adult correctional facilities, adult community corrections programs, juvenile correctional centers or juvenile detention centers. However, by lowering the thresholds the proposal may increase future state-responsible bed space needs (e.g., increase the need for prison beds). As such, the Commission is required under §30-19.1:4 and Chapter 2 of the 2014 Acts of Assembly, Special Session I to identify a minimum fiscal impact of \$50,000.

Although insufficient data exists to determine the fiscal impact due to this proposal, the Commission also states that the proposed legislation could result in an increase in the jail population. Ultimately, the presiding judge will decide if there is to be any time served in jail; however, any increase in jail population will increase costs to the state. The Commonwealth presently pays the localities \$4.00 a day for each misdemeanant or otherwise local responsible prisoner held in a jail and \$12.00 a day for each state responsible prisoner. It also funds a significant portion of the jails' operating costs, e.g. correctional officers. The state's share of these costs on a per prisoner, per day basis varies from locality to locality. However, according to the Compensation Board's FY2013 Jail Cost Report (November 1, 2014), the estimated total state support for local and regional jails averaged \$30.54 per state inmate, per day in FY 2013.

- **9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:** Compensation Board, Department of Corrections, local and regional jails.
- 10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No.
- 11. Other Comments: None.

Date: January 20, 2015 DPB Analyst: jgc