Virginia Retirement System 2015 Fiscal Impact Statement

1. Bill Number: SB1196S1

House of Origin	Introduced	\boxtimes	Substitute	Engrossed
Second House	In Committee		Substitute	Enrolled

- 2. Patron: Norment
- 3. Committee: Courts of Justice
- 4. Title: Mandatory judicial retirement.
- **5. Summary:** Increases the mandatory retirement age under the Judicial Retirement System from 70 years of age to 73 years of age.
- 6. Budget Amendment Necessary: No.
- 7. Fiscal Impact Estimates: Exhibit 1 below provides cost impacts of the substitute bill.

Exhibit 1												
	ļ	FY15 Cost		FY16 Cost	1	FY17 Cost		FY18 Cost	1	FY19 Cost	9 Cost FY20 Co	
State - General Fund	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
SPORS - General Fund		-		-		-		-		-		-
VaLORS - General Fund		-		-		-		-		-		-
JRS - General Fund		-		(1,396,000)		(1,396,000)		(1,396,000)		(1,396,000)		(1,396,000)
Teacher - General Fund		-		-		-		-		-		-
TOTAL General Fund	\$	-	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)
State - Non-General Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
SPORS - Non-General Funds		-		-		-		-		-		-
VaLORS - Non-General Funds		-		-		-		-		-		-
TOTAL - Non-General Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Teacher - Local Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Political Subdivisions - Local Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
TOTAL Local Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Grand Totals	\$	-	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)	\$	(1,396,000)

Estimated projections based on employee data and valuation results as of June 30, 2014 and assume a level population throughout projection period.

Payroll projections are assumed to remain level throughout projection period.

The current retirement rates were extended beyond age 70 to allow analysis on this proposed change. Currently, we assume that 50% of judges will retire when they first become eligible for unreduced retirement. The assumed rate of retirement for other ages is 15% per year with the assumption that all judges will retire by age 70. By extending the retirement rates past

age 70, we assume that 15% of the eligible judges will retire at each age from 70 through 73, leaving the remaining judges to ultimately retire at age 73. However, it is important to keep in mind that it is not possible to predict how many members will continue to work beyond age 70. If very few judges actually end up working past age 70, then the savings to the Plan would likely be minimal.

- 8. Fiscal Implications: Included in Fiscal Impact estimate. Currently, the judicial retirement plan provides for the mandatory retirement of judges once they attain age 70. The proposed bill would extend the mandatory retirement age to 73. The data in Item 7 also reflects the reduction in costs for the retiree health insurance credit program and group life insurance program.
- **9.** Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected: VRS, JRS and agencies employing judges.

10. Technical Amendment Necessary: No.

11. Other Comments: By way of background, mandatory retirement ages for state judges across the country range from age 70 to 90. Eighteen states do not impose a mandatory retirement age. Including those 18 states, at least 34 states permit a judge to serve on the bench beyond age 70. Twelve states mirror Virginia by mandating judicial retirement at age 70. In addition to those 12 states, a few other states use age 70 as a benchmark for the age beyond which a judge may not be appointed to a new term. Each of the aforementioned groups of states may contain some overlap due to nuances in the specific laws that govern mandatory judicial retirement.

This bill is similar to HB 1984. The House bill permits a judge to continue working beyond age 70 to age 73, but only upon authorization of the General Assembly and on a case-by-case basis. This bill does not require such authorization.

The substitute SB 1196 does not contain any changes from its introduced version. The substitute incorporated SB 1324, another similar bill. While SB 1324 contained the same General Assembly authorization language found in HB 1984, the authorization language does not appear in this substitute legislation.

Date: 02-5-2015 **Document:** SB1196S1.DOC