

## Department of Planning and Budget 2012 Fiscal Impact Statement

**1. Bill Number:** HB926-ER

<b>House of Origin</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduced	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/> Engrossed
<b>Second House</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> In Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enrolled

**2. Patron:** Lingamfelter

**3. Committee:** Passed both Houses

**4. Title:** Circuit court clerks; remote access to land records, fees collected by clerks, debit cards.

**5. Summary:** Provides that credit and debt convenience fees and land record fees will be deposited into a special revenue fund in the local treasury and is subject to appropriation by the local governing body. The local governing body cannot use these funds for any other purpose. Also, the clerk of the circuit court of Prince William County may establish a program under which the clerk assesses a daily *reasonable convenience fee that shall not exceed \$2 per transaction* for remote access to land records and a separate fee per image downloaded in an amount not to exceed the fee provided in subdivision A 8 of § 17.1-275 (\$0.50 per page).

**6. Budget Amendment Necessary:** No.

**7. No Fiscal Impact:** Final.

**8. Fiscal Implications:** The legislation is not expected to have a fiscal impact on Commonwealth funds.

The Code of Virginia currently allows circuit court clerks to charge a reasonable convenience fee for use of credit cards (up to 4 percent). The legislation would allow for the charging of a convenience fee on not only credit cards but also debit cards. In addition, the legislation limits the amount that can be charged. The fee “shall not exceed four percent of the amount paid for the transaction, or a flat fee not to exceed \$2 per transaction.” Since the convenience fee is structured as a range (“not to exceed”) and since the circuit court clerks would have the discretion to decide which method of calculation (and thus the size of the fee to be paid), it is not possible to determine the local revenue impact of this provision.

**9. Specific Agency or Political Subdivisions Affected:** Circuit court clerks statewide.

**10. Technical Amendment Necessary:** No.

**11. Other Comments:** Similar to SB251-S2.

**Date:** February 29, 2012

**Document:** G:\JGC\2012\EFIS\Posted\HB926ER.DOC