## Department of Planning and Budget 2007 Fiscal Impact Statement

1.	Bill	Numbe	er HB	1925
----	------	-------	-------	------

House of Origin	☐ Introduced ☐ Substitute	e Engrossed
Second House	☐ In Committee ☐ Substitute	e Enrolled

- **2. Patron** H. Griffith
- **3. Committee** House Committee for Courts of Justice
- **4. Title** Special Justices for Psychiatric Inpatient Commitment of Minors Act
- 5. Summary/Purpose:

This bill allows special justices to perform mental commitment hearings of juveniles.

6. **Fiscal Impact Estimates are**: Preliminary (see Item 8)

**6a.** Expenditure Impact:

Fiscal Year	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2007-08	\$22,166 - \$88,665		GF
2008-09	\$22,166 - \$88,665		GF
2009-10	\$22,166 - \$88,665		GF
2010-11	\$22,166 - \$88,665		GF
2011-12	\$22,166 - \$88,665		GF
2012-13	\$22,166 - \$88,665		GF

- 7. **Budget amendment necessary**: Yes. Item 34 and Item 35.
- 8. **Fiscal implications**: When a special justice conducts a mental commitment hearing, that person is paid \$86.25 per hearing. Sitting juvenile judges who conduct such hearings do not receive any compensation for those hearings in addition to their allotted salary.

During the past year, 1,328 mental commitment hearings for juveniles were conducted; 1,028 or about 77 percent were conducted by juvenile judges, the remainder by special justices. If all of the mental commitment hearings of juveniles currently conducted by judges (1,028 hearings) were to be conducted by special justices, then the annual fiscal impact of this legislation on the Involuntary Mental Commitment Fund allocated to the Supreme Court of Virginia would be \$88,665. The court is unable to predict at this time, however, what percentage of the commitment hearings currently conducted by judges would be conducted by special justices. Therefore, the estimated additional funds that needs to be allocated to the Involuntary Mental Commitment Fund ranges from \$22,166 (special justices will conduct 25 percent of these hearings) to \$88,665 (special justices conduct 100 percent of these hearings).

9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected: Courts.

10. Technical amendment necessary: No.

11. Other comments: This is the same as SB738.

**Date:** 1-10-07/ RMT

G:\FIS 2007\HB 1925.Doc Reginald Thompson