Department of Planning and Budget 2007 Fiscal Impact Statement

	House of Original Second House	
2.	Patron	Albo
3.	Committee	Health, Welfare and Institutions
	Title te medication.	Outpatient treatment orders; changes first criterion to include failure to properly

- **5. Summary/Purpose:** This bill adds a new element to the several findings that must be made by a judge (or special justice) in an involuntary civil commitment hearing under §37.2-817 to issue an order of involuntary outpatient treatment. The amendments in this bill create a new alternative to the current requirement that a person be found an "imminent danger" to himself or others or "substantially unable" to care for himself. Specifically, the court could now make *either* the above finding *or*, alternatively, find that the person has failed to take prescribed medication and as a result is "likely" to become an imminent danger or substantially unable to care for himself at some time in the future.
- **6. Fiscal Impact Estimates are:** Tentative

1. Bill Number HB1904

- **7. Budget amendment necessary:** Item 312 (Grants to Localities); program 445 (Financial Assistance for Health Services)
- **8. Fiscal implications:** This bill amends the criteria in §37.2-817.C for involuntary outpatient treatment. By amending the criteria, this bill creates a lower standard by which a judge might enter an order for involuntary outpatient treatment. When combined with the other criteria for involuntary outpatient treatment, this amendment is likely to expand the pool of people with mental illness who might be ordered involuntarily into treatment. Data is not available to determine the numbers who would be so ordered to receive outpatient treatment.

It is probable that intensive services similar to existing assertive community treatment (PACT) could be used as a model for this outpatient treatment. Costs are estimated at \$25,000 per case because the target group for these services is by definition currently unwilling to engage in treatment, and would therefore need intensive face-to-face intervention. If only two persons at any given time were under assisted outpatient treatment orders at each of the 40 CSBs, projected costs would be \$2.0 million annually for the additional services for these additional consumers. Again, however, data is not available to determine exactly the number of consumers and specific services needed so these costs could be significantly understated.

In addition, at least some such people might be ordered into inpatient treatment first, although they might not need inpatient level of care at the time of the order. Additional court-ordered inpatients would exacerbate the demand for services that already strains current inpatient treatment capacity. Without additional resources for inpatient services, the impact of additional court-ordered patients on existing inpatient services could be to make inpatient services less accessible for all.

- **9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected:** Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services; Community Services Boards
- **10. Other comments:** The Supreme Court of Virginia has initiated a Commission on Mental Health Law Reform, which is intensively studying Virginia's involuntary civil commitment laws, including proposals such as this one. This group includes representation from all stakeholders, and will complete its study and recommendations in time for the 2008 Session.

Date: 01/16/07/eee

Document: G:\FY2007\2007 Legislation\HB1904.Doc Emily Ehrlichhmann

cc: Secretary of Health and Human Resources