Ø

HJ723S1

2

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 723

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE
(Proposed by the Senate Committee on Privileges and Elections
on February 13, 2007)

Prior to Substitute—Delegates Bell, Peace [HIR 579], Rapp [HIR

(Patrons Prior to Substitute—Delegates Bell, Peace [HJR 579], Rapp [HJR 714], Joannou [HJR 722], and Cline [HJR 772])

Proposing an amendment to Section 11 of Article I of the Constitution of Virginia, relating to due process, takings of private property, and other rights.

RESOLVED by the House of Delegates, the Senate concurring, a majority of the members elected to each house agreeing, That the following amendment to the Constitution of Virginia be, and the same hereby is, proposed and referred to the General Assembly at its first regular session held after the next general election of members of the House of Delegates for its concurrence in conformity with the provisions of Section 1 of Article XII of the Constitution of Virginia, namely:

Amend Section 11 of Article I of the Constitution of Virginia as follows:

ARTICLE I BILL OF RIGHTS

Section 11. Due process of law; obligation of contracts; taking of private property; prohibited discrimination; jury trial in civil cases.

That no person shall be deprived of his life, liberty, or property without due process of law; that the General Assembly shall not pass any law impairing the obligation of contracts, nor any law whereby private property shall be taken or damaged for public uses, without just compensation, the term "public uses" to be defined by the General Assembly; and that the right to be free from any governmental discrimination upon the basis of religious conviction, race, color, sex, or national origin shall not be abridged, except that the mere separation of the sexes shall not be considered discrimination.

That in controversies respecting property, and in suits between man and man, trial by jury is preferable to any other, and ought to be held sacred. The General Assembly may limit the number of jurors for civil cases in courts of record to not less than five.

That the right to private property is a fundamental right possessed by the people, and private property may be taken only for public uses and only after payment of just compensation to the owner from whom the property is taken.

Private property may only be taken for the following public uses:

- 1. The property is taken for the possession, occupation, and enjoyment by the public at large, by political subdivisions of the Commonwealth, or by public agencies;
- 2. The property taken is used for the creation or functioning of any public service corporation or public service company that has been granted or delegated the power of eminent domain;
- 3. The property is taken for the construction, maintenance, or operation of public highways or other public transportation facilities by the Commonwealth, a locality or political subdivision, or a public-private partnership; or
- 4. The property taken is blighted such that the taking eliminates a direct threat to public health or safety caused by the property in its current condition and removes a public nuisance, removes a structure that is beyond repair or unfit for human habitation or use, or acquires abandoned property.

No other uses shall be deemed public uses justifying the taking of private property. Except where property is taken for the creation or functioning of a public service corporation or public service company, increases in the tax base, tax revenues, employment, economic development, or general economic health and welfare shall not constitute public uses.

Any taking of private property must be necessary to achieve the alleged public use. The public interest must dominate the private gain, and any taking under the pretext of an alleged public use shall be impermissible. Any taking for the purpose of conferring a private benefit on a particular class of identifiable individuals or private party is impermissible. Whenever an attempt is made to take property for a use alleged to be public, the question of whether the contemplated use is truly public shall be a judicial question and determined as such without regard to any legislative assertion that the use is public.