## Department of Planning and Budget 2006 Fiscal Impact Statement

ı.	BIII Numbe	r SBSOUEK
	House of Orig	gin Introduced Substitute Engrossed
	Second House	e In Committee Substitute Enrolled
2.	Patron	Stolle
3.	Committee	Passed Both Houses
4.	Title	Campus safety.

- **5. Summary/Purpose:** This legislation proposes to amend and reenact § <u>9.1-102</u> of the Code of Virginia requiring the Department of Criminal Justice Services to develop training standards for campus security officers and to provide technical support and assistance to campus police departments and campus security departments. The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2007.
- **6. Fiscal Impact Estimates are:** Final. See item #8.

CDCCOED

- **7. Budget amendment necessary:** Yes. Item 388.
- **8. Fiscal implications:** The Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) advises that given the very broad language of the legislation, the demand on the time and resources of the department could require additional positions.

DCJS estimates that it will require four additional positions at a cost of \$458,451 to develop campus oriented training and certification for police officers, developing the same for campus security personnel, and to address policy and procedures. In FY 2008, the agency believes two additional positions would be needed for the development and maintenance of mutual aid agreements between campus police and security departments and contiguous jurisdictions. Some of the additional responsibilities that DCJS anticipates assuming under this proposed legislation include the setting of minimum employment requirements, development of records keeping and information sharing functions, establishment of model policies in a variety of subject areas, and conducting annual conferences geared towards the campus security officer community.

It should be noted that DCJS has already developed training requirements and curricula for police officers that campus police departments must meet. It also has already done extensive work in the area of policy development, including the writing and dissemination of a Model Policy Manual for law enforcement agencies, a state level law enforcement accreditation program that deals with all of the areas outlined in the legislation, and has established expertise in security work that could be modified to better fit the environment of a university or college. Given this, there appears to be sufficient additional responsibilities to justify at least two additional positions at a cost of approximately \$176,022 in FY 2007 and beyond. If

**REVISED** 

additional resources are required for these functions, the agency can request additional funding for FY 2008 at the 2007 General Assembly session.

It should be noted that the House provided \$176,022 in additional general fund appropriation and two positions in each year in its budget amendments. The Senate also provided two additional positions and \$200,000 in additional general fund appropriation in each year of the upcoming biennium.

- **9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected:** Department of Criminal Justice Services, campus police departments and campus security departments.
- 10. Technical amendment necessary: Given recent budget cuts and federal funding reductions experienced by DCJS, the agency is unable to absorb the potential costs of this legislation. Given this, an enactment clause should be added to the bills such as: "That this act, for which general fund dollars are required, shall not take effect unless a specific appropriation has been included to support the provisions of this act within a general appropriation act taking effect July 1, 2006, that has been approved by the General Assembly and signed by the Governor."
- 11. Other comments: This legislation is identical to HB1036 and incorporates HB154. This legislation was prompted by a report of the Virginia State Crime Commission in compliance with House Joint Resolution 122, enacted during the 2004 Session of the General Assembly. The Interim Report on the Study on Campus Safety (House Document 42) identifies several areas that campus security and police departments may have special needs and conflicting reporting requirements that could best be addressed with separate training requirements. The report has not yet been finalized, however, the Crime Commission acknowledged its interest and the significance of the problems facing campus police and security departments.

**Date:** 03/01/06 / MEM

**Document:** G:\Fis\06\Dcjs\Sb560er.Doc Michael McMahon

cc: Secretary of Public Safety