

**Department of Planning and Budget**  
**2006 Fiscal Impact Statement**

**1. Bill Number** HB 889

**House of Origin**    ☒ Introduced    ☐ Substitute    ☐ Engrossed

**Second House**    ☐ In Committee    ☐ Substitute    ☐ Enrolled

**2. Patron** Kilgore

**3. Committee** Courts of Justice

**4. Title** Immunity from liability for lead-based paint maintenance

**5. Summary/Purpose:** The bill would define "lead-based paint maintenance" under the immunity from liability statute for lead-based paint poisoning. The change would give immunity to the owner or agent of residential property if the owner or agent who is responsible for lead-based paint maintenance contracts with a licensed lead contractor to maintain the property in compliance with the lead-based paint hazard standards that are within the Property Maintenance Code of the Uniform Statewide Building Code. Prior to the case in chief, the bill would allow a hearing on responsive pleadings that assert such immunity.

**6. Fiscal impact:** There would be no significant fiscal impact associated with the bill.

**7. Budget amendment necessary:** No.

**8. Fiscal implications:** See Item 6.

**9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected:** Department of Housing and Community Development.

**10. Technical amendment necessary:** No.

**11. Other comments:** None.

**Date:** 01/15/2006 / mar

**Document:** G:\LEGIS\2006\FIS\Hb889.DOC

cc: Secretary of Commerce and Trade