2006 SESSION

1

VIRGINIA ACTS OF ASSEMBLY - CHAPTER

2 An Act to determine conditions necessary to divert truck freight from Interstate Route 81.

3 4	[H 1581] [H 1581]	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Whereas, the General Assembly has determined that the transportation of freight and passengers be rail frequently provides a less expensive, safer, and more environmentally friendly and fuel efficient alternative to the construction of additional highway capacity; and Whereas, the General Assembly has established the Interstate Route 81 Corridor Multista Transportation Planning Initiative, potentially involving 13 states; and Whereas, the Commonwealth of Virginia's previously commissioned studies to evaluate the feasibility of diverting freight in the Interstate Route 81 Corridor to rail have been restricted to improvement inside the borders of Virginia only; and Whereas, Interstate Route 81 has been found to be overutilized by commercial truck traffic, more than half of which consists of long-haul through-trucks beginning and ending their trips outside of	ity nts
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Virginia; and Whereas, a higher-speed dual-track railway would enable the diversion of a significant portion of the through-truck traffic from interstate highways to rail; and Whereas, the 600-mile Interstate Route 81 Corridor between Knoxville, Tennessee, and Harrisbur Pennsylvania, may be a suitable market in which to deploy a modern, higher-speed intermodal concept using "roll on/roll off" technology in the United States; and Whereas, if deemed feasible, such a rail operation has the potential to divert a higher percentage of truck-borne freight from Interstate Route 81 in Virginia than conventional intermodal rail concept considered in earlier studies, and with the potential for adding other services such as passenger rail if the future: and	rg, ept of ots
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	 the future; and Whereas, there is a pressing public need to provide a mechanism for making improvements to the Commonwealth's rail infrastructure that are clearly in the public interest; now, therefore, Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia: 1. § 1. That the Commonwealth of Virginia, through the Secretary of Transportation and the Rat Advisory Board, shall cause to have completed a comprehensive feasibility plan to define the condition that would be necessary to divert the maximum amount feasible of the long-haul, through-truck freight traffic to intermodal rail in the Interstate Route 81 Corridor. Such a plan shall be completed as quickly as reasonably possible and the finished plan provided at the Governor, members of the General Assembly, and the public. The plan may be developed as part of a statewide multimodal freight study or other study conducted by the Rail Advisory Board, the Intermodal Office or the Virginia Department of Transportation. It shall include, but not be limited to evaluation of the following with the objective of maximizing diversion potential to rail and minimizin. 	ail ns ht to of he to,
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 future Interstate Route 81 highway capacity construction needs: A. Operating Characteristics. I. Utilize existing VDOT or Norfolk Southern Shenandoah line right-of-way wherever possible; 2. Extend at least 500 miles, creating or expanding logical termini in Tennessee and Pennsylvania of New York with at least one intermediate terminal in Virginia; 3. Utilize suitable "roll on/roll off" and other efficient rail technologies and service concepts; 4. Achieve truck-competitive transit times and reliability between terminals; 5. Consider alternative ownership, management, and service operational options and requirement and 6. Consider the option of a new rail right-of-way from Front Royal to Culpeper to expedite mode 	ts;
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	 6. Consider the option of a new tail right-of-way from From Royal to Capeper to expedite more efficient use of the Norfolk Southern Piedmont line. B. Financial Evaluation. Capital cost of upgrading and construction for rail line as determined in subsection A as well a cost of terminals, rolling stock, and other equipment or infrastructure; Operating cost for the level of rail service needed to achieve truck-competitive speed an reliability; Include comparative return on investment analyses between the rail option(s) found to be more effective in meeting the performance criterion of 60% diversion rate for through-state freight to rail; Evaluate project financing alternatives, including funds available through SAFETEA-LU, the Federal Railroad Administration's \$35 billion "Railroad Rehabilitation and Improvement Financing 	as nd ost he

HB1581ER

57 loan program, public and private sector bond financing, and public-private partnership capital 58 investment;

- 59
- 5. Include truck direct and indirect cost savings from using rail compared to over-the-road driving; 6. Include analysis of a full range of future fuel price scenarios, in determining potential diversion rates to rail, and the capability to meet debt service and operate profitably; and 60 61
- 7. Estimate the construction schedule for completing track upgrades and grade crossing separation, 62 including but not limited to, the rail corridor from Front Royal to Manassas. 63