

Department of Planning and Budget 2005 Fiscal Impact Statement

1. Bill Number SB1154

House of Origin ☒ Introduced ☐ Substitute ☐ Engrossed
Second House ☐ In Committee ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled

2. Patron Stolle

3. Committee Finance

4. Title Number of district judges.

5. Summary/Purpose: Adds a new general district court judge to the 15th Judicial District (Fredericksburg, Essex, Caroline, Hanover, King George, Lancaster, Northumberland, Richmond, Spotsylvania, Stafford, Westmoreland), and a new juvenile court judge to the 20th Judicial District (Loudoun, Fauquier, Rappahannock).

6. Fiscal Impact Estimates are: Preliminary. See Item 8.

6a. Expenditure Impact:

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Positions</i>	<i>Fund</i>
2005-06	\$426,556	2	General Fund
2006-07	\$426,556	2	General Fund
2007-08	\$426,556	2	General Fund
2008-09	\$426,556	2	General Fund
2009-10	\$426,556	2	General Fund
2010-11	\$426,556	2	General Fund

7. Budget amendment necessary: Yes. Item 33.

8. Fiscal implications: According to the Supreme Court, this legislation will result in a fiscal impact of \$365,930 per fiscal year. Included in the \$369,930 is the salary and associated benefits for two judges, cost for clerk time, cost associated with substitute judges and equipment. The salary and related benefits for one additional district court judge is \$174,787. Estimated clerk, substitute judge and equipment costs are approximately \$8,178.

According to the Compensation Board, this legislation will also require \$56,626 in salary and related benefits for two court security officers, one for each new judge.

Not included in the out year projection are any pay increases or increases in related benefit costs that may apply.

9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected: District Courts, Compensation Board.

10. Technical amendment necessary: No

11. Other comments: This bill is identical to HB661

Date: 01/27/05 / TMB