



## Impact Analysis on Proposed Legislation

### *Virginia Criminal Sentencing Commission*

#### **House Bill No. 2437** **(Patrons – Carrico and Kilgore)**

**Date Submitted:** 1/11/2005

**LD #:** 05-4402104

**Topic:** Possession of methamphetamine precursor chemicals

#### **Proposed Change:**

The proposed legislation amends § 18.2-248 by adding subsection J to make possession of two or more of the specified substances with intent to manufacture methamphetamine a Class 6 felony. These substances are: anhydrous ammonia, ether, hypophosphorus acid solutions, hypophosphite salts, hydrochloric acid, iodine crystals or tincture, lawfully-dispensed controlled substances, methylbenzene, methamphetamine precursor drugs, sodium hydroxide, trichlorethane, or 2-propanone. Subsection K is also added to define “methamphetamine precursor drug” as a drug or product containing ephedrine or pseudoephedrine, or any of their salts, optical isomers, or salts of optical isomers.

#### **Analysis:**

In 2001, the Commission conducted a comprehensive study of sentencing practices in methamphetamine cases at the request of the General Assembly (chapters 352 and 375 of *The Acts of the Assembly 2001*). In response to continued concerns over methamphetamine crime in Virginia, the Commission this year conducted a second detailed study on this specific drug. Chapter 5 of the Commission’s *2004 Annual Report*, “Methamphetamine Crime in Virginia,” provides the most recent data available on the use of the drug, lab seizures, arrests and convictions in the state.

Virginia classifies methamphetamine as a Schedule II controlled substance. Under Virginia law, it is a Class 5 felony for any person to unlawfully possess a Schedule II controlled substance (§ 18.2-250(A, a)). Under § 18.2-248(C), the manufacture, sale, distribution, or possession with intent to manufacture, sell or distribute a Schedule II controlled substance is a felony punishable by a term of imprisonment from 5 to 40 years and a fine not more than \$500,000. Like many other states, Virginia also lists the immediate methamphetamine precursor phenylacetone as a Schedule II controlled substance (§ 54.1-3448). This corresponds to the “narrow” definition of a precursor substance, where the law applies only to a compound that immediately precedes the final illegal substance. Virginia law does not presently penalize possession or distribution of a broad range of precursor chemicals such as ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, acetone, ether, toluene, iodine, red phosphorous, or anhydrous ammonia, which are used in the manufacture of methamphetamine.

Many western and midwestern states have laws penalizing the possession and distribution of numerous precursor substances used in the manufacture of methamphetamine. These states employ a “broad” definition and may list numerous specific precursors. In particular, ephedrine

and pseudoephedrine are frequently listed as precursors. Arizona has laws regarding the quantity of pseudoephedrine necessary to trigger a violation, and also a law penalizing the possession of equipment used in methamphetamine production. Idaho also has a law regarding the quantity of precursor chemicals necessary to trigger a violation. Other states have laws that do not specify threshold quantities, but penalize “possession with intent to manufacture methamphetamine”. Others (Texas, for example) penalize possession of at least three substances from a list of precursors.

Recent legislative efforts in other states have focused on (1) restricting the sale or distribution of products containing pseudoephedrine, and (2) preventing the theft of anhydrous ammonia. Only 14 states currently have quantity restrictions on the possession, purchase, sale or transfer of products containing pseudoephedrine. Some of these states restrict both the number of packages (typically 2 or 3 packages) and the total quantity of pseudoephedrine (often 9 or 12 grams) that can be purchased or possessed at any one time. Exemptions may be allowed for specified forms of pediatric products, liquid or gelcap formulations, and products formulated to effectively prevent the conversion of the active ingredient (ie. pseudoephedrine) into methamphetamine.

**Impact of Proposed Legislation:**

As proposed legislation establishes a new crime that is not specifically defined in the current *Code*, the proposal may increase the state-responsible (prison) bed space needs of the Commonwealth. However, the databases available to the Commission are insufficient to provide information on the number of new convictions that may result under the proposed legislation.

No adjustment to Virginia’s sentencing guidelines would be necessary under the proposal.

The Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) reports that the impact of the proposal on Juvenile Correctional Center (JCC) bed space needs cannot be determined.

**Pursuant to § 30-19.1:4, the estimated amount of the necessary appropriation cannot be determined for periods of imprisonment in state adult correctional facilities and cannot be determined for periods of commitment to the custody of the Department of Juvenile Justice.**

meth10\_4402