2005 SESSION

052709740 **SENATE BILL NO. 1128** 1 2 Offered January 12, 2005 3 Prefiled January 12, 2005 4 A BILL for the relief of Robert E. and Barbara Morrison. 5 Patrons—Houck; Delegate: Orrock 6 7 Referred to Committee on Finance 8 9 Whereas, on August 29, 2000, Robert E. and Barbara Morrison (the Morrisons) purchased a lot in 10 the Wyndemere Subdivision of Spotsylvania County on which they intended to construct a home; and Whereas, the Wyndemere Subdivision plat, including identification of boundary lines and the location 11 of drainfields for the individual lots, previously had been approved by the Spotsylvania County Health 12 Department (SCHD) on February 16, 1996; and 13 Whereas, the approval of the subdivision plat was based on site and soil submittals from Thomas R. 14 15 Burruss, CPSS, and additional soil evaluations provided through Soils and Environmental Services of 16 Nokesville: and Whereas, on May 29, 2001, the Morrisons submitted an application for a sewage disposal system 17 construction permit for the lot to serve a three bedroom home; and 18 19 Whereas, in June of 2001, an environmental health specialist with the SCHD visited the property to 20 perform an evaluation for the application; and Whereas, on July 11, 2001, Sewage Disposal Construction Permit # SWP 01-452 was issued by the 21 22 SCHD for a sewage system designed to serve a three bedroom house; and 23 Whereas, excavation of the lot for the construction of the house and the installation of the sewage 24 system subsequently began; and 25 Whereas, an SCHD employee inspected the sewage system during its construction on December 1, 2001, and March 28, 2002, and noted that the system was being installed in accordance with the 26 27 requirements of the permit; and 28 Whereas, on March 28, 2002, the SCHD approved the permit and issued a permit to operate the 29 septic system on the same date; and 30 Whereas, on April 1, 2002, the Morrisons completed the purchase of the lot together with the 31 completed dwelling and sewage system; and Whereas, beginning in May 2002, the Morrisons complained of problems with the water drainage for 32 33 the lot and bad odors; and 34 Whereas, on November 7, 2002, the Morrisons contacted the SCHD to report that they were having 35 problems with the sewage system including water drainage and the presence of a bad odor; and 36 Whereas, on November 12, 2002, two SCHD environmental health specialists visited the site and 37 observed raw sewage discharging through a four-inch subsurface drain tile located in the rear of the 38 garage: and 39 Whereas, by letter dated November 21, 2002, the SCHD requested a number of corrective actions, 40 which included investigating potential surface and subsurface water infiltration and the impact of a water softener on the system, and requiring the sewage from the residence to be pumped and hauled away for 41 disposal until a repair permit could be issued and appropriate repairs installed and approved; and 42 43 Whereas, while some work was done toward correcting the situation, the failure of the system persisted; and 44 45 Whereas on February 12, 2003, Phillip Cobb (Cobb), a soil scientist from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Virginia Tech), on contract to the Virginia Department of Health (VDH), 46 47 visited the Morrisons' property and performed an evaluation of soil profiles in the vicinity of the 48 drainfield; and 49 Whereas, in a report dated March 27, 2003, Cobb concluded that the soils in the drainfield areas did 50 not meet the minimum requirements of VDH regulations due to shallow depths to soil horizons or 51 materials that have restrictive permeability; and 52 Whereas, by letter dated on April 8, 2003, the SCHD informed the Morrisons of its assessment of 53 the reasons for the system's failure and requested the Morrisons to take several steps to resolve the problems, including securing the services of a licensed professional engineer to act as project manager 54 and to design a repair solution for the system; and 55 Whereas, the Morrisons subsequently hired Peter Brooks, P.E. (Brooks) of P.M. Brooks & Associates 56 57 to design a repair; and Whereas, Brooks prepared a preliminary proposal, which was provided to VDH on May 26, 2003; 58

59 and

60 Whereas, on July 2, 2003, Brooks and William Russell (Russell), Environmental Health Supervisor,61 performed an inspection of the sewer line at the Morrisons' property; and

Whereas, the inspection uncovered several problems and concluded that the inflow and infiltrationthrough the sewer line was a primary cause of the system failure; and

64 Whereas, on August 20, 2003, the SCHD issued a repair permit based on the plans and specifications 65 prepared by Brooks; and

Whereas, it was not until Robert Morrison appealed to the Office of the Secretary of Health andHuman Resources that the VDH conducted an inspection of the site that had been approved under thepermit issued on August 20, 2003; and

69 Whereas, on August 27, 2003, the site was rejected by the VDH based on soil conditions; and

- 70 Whereas, the VDH identified a new site and ordered soil tests and analysis and system design modifications; and
- Whereas, on November 19, 2003, the SCHD issued a revised repair permit based on revised plansand specifications prepared by Brooks; and
- Whereas, disagreement arose over the proper repair and the responsibility for the problems with the septic system that was previously inspected and approved by the SCHD; and

Whereas, the VDH determined that the onsite meetings, conferences, and communications between
the Morrisons and their representatives and employees of the SCHD and VDH constituted an informal
fact-finding proceeding that is required as a prerequisite to a final case decision under the Administrative
Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.); and

80 Whereas, in October 2003, the VDH issued a Notice of Case Decision declaring the operating permit81 for the septic system for the Morrisons' home to be null and void; and

82 Whereas, the Notice of Case Decision included findings that (i) the site and soil evaluations included
83 in the 1996 report did not accurately describe the soil conditions and did not comply with the minimum
84 requirements of VDH regulations, (ii) the drainfield was not installed in the proper area as indicated on

the permit and (iii) the numerous sewer line construction errors were the primary cause of the system failure; and

87 Whereas, the Morrisons have incurred extensive costs to develop a repair for the septic system and88 continue to incur such costs; and

89 Whereas, according to the Morrisons, these extensive costs amount to \$498,550, which consists of (i) 90 fees that have been and continue to be incurred for pumping and hauling sewage away for disposal, (ii)

91 fees for the personal professional services provided by Mr. Morrison, and (iii) the cost for repairing the 92 septic system based on a sole source bid submitted by a licensed contractor; and

93 Whereas, Robert E. and Barbara Morrison have no other means to obtain adequate relief except by94 this body; now, therefore,

95 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

96 1.§ 1. That there is hereby appropriated from the general fund of the state treasury the sum of \$498,550
97 for the relief of Robert E. Morrison and Barbara Morrison, to be paid by check issued by the State
98 Treasurer on warrant of the Comptroller upon execution by Robert E. Morrison and Barbara Morrison
99 of a release and waiver forever releasing (i) the Commonwealth or any agency, instrumentality, officer,
100 employee, or political subdivision thereof; and (ii) all other parties of interest from any present or
101 future claims they may have against such enumerated parties in connection with the aforesaid
102 occurrence.