042786366 HOUSE BILL NO. 848 1 INTRODUCED 2 Offered January 14, 2004 3 Prefiled January 14, 2004 4 A BILL for the relief of Julius Earl Ruffin. 5 Patrons-Jones, S.C., Miles, Spruill and Welch 6 7 Referred to Committee on Appropriations 8 9 Whereas, Julius Earl Ruffin (Ruffin) is a resident of Suffolk, Virginia; and Whereas, in 1982, Ruffin was employed by the Eastern Virginia Medical School as a maintenance 10 11 worker: and Whereas, in January 1982, the victim of a brutal rape identified Ruffin as her attacker after she saw 12 13 him while riding in an elevator at the school; and Whereas, on January 20, 1982, Ruffin was arrested by the Norfolk Police Department and charged 14 15 with rape, statutory burglary and three counts of sodomy; and Whereas, Ruffin was immediately incarcerated on January 20, 1982, pending trial on the charges; and 16 Whereas, the arrest and the charges were based on the victim's identification of Ruffin and blood 17 tests that linked semen found at the crime scene with a gene pool including eight percent of all 18 19 African-American men; and 20 Whereas, Ruffin's first trial on the charges occurred on May 3 and 4, 1982, and ended with a hung 21 jury; and 22 Whereas, a second trial occurred on July 8 and 9, 1982, also ending with a hung jury; and HB848 23 Whereas, in the case of the first and second trial, there was indication that the juries had serious 24 questions about the description that the victim gave at the scene in contrast with Ruffin's physical 25 appearance and gave weight to Ruffin's corroborated testimony that he was in Suffolk with his brother and two other friends on the night of the attack; and 26 27 Whereas, a third trial was held on September 30, 1982, and on October 1, 1982; and Ruffin was convicted of rape, statutory burglary and three counts of forcible sodomy; and 28 29 Whereas, on December 19, 1982, Ruffin was sentenced to five life terms in the Virginia State 30 Penitentiary; and 31 Whereas, Ruffin maintained his innocence and proceeded to pursue all allowable appeals in the state 32 and federal system, all of which were denied; and Whereas, though ultimately eligible for parole, Ruffin continued to assert his innocence, which 33 34 adversely affected the outcome of each hearing, denying him the opportunity for parole; and 35 Whereas, over the course of his incarceration, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing became a 36 forensically accepted and more definitive method for identifying individuals accused of committing 37 crimes; and 38 Whereas, Ruffin sought to have the forensic evidence in his case reanalyzed using the more 39 sophisticated DNA testing as a means of proving his innocence; and Whereas, Ruffin was initially informed by the Virginia Division of Forensic Science (Division) that 40 41 they were unable to comply with his request because it was standard operating procedure to send all forensic evidence back to the agency providing it after all the appeals of the person convicted were 42 43 exhausted: and 44 Whereas, Ruffin continued to pursue the evidence by making a formal request with the Commonwealth Attorney's office of the City of Norfolk to search for remaining evidence for the DNA 45 46 testing; and 47 Whereas, a search by state scientists at the Division yielded a small portion of biological evidence that was suitable for the testing; and 48 49 Whereas, on December 31, 2002, the Circuit Court of the City of Norfolk ordered the Division to 50 perform appropriate DNA testing on the biological evidence; and Whereas, pursuant to that order, the Division completed that testing and on February 11, 2003, issued 51 52 a Certificate of Analysis excluding Ruffin as a possible contributor of the DNA found in the samples 53 collected from the crime scene; and 54 Whereas, the Certificate of Analysis further stated that the DNA did match that of an individual who 55 was already incarcerated; and Whereas, on February 12, 2003, the Commonwealth's Attorney for the City of Norfolk arranged to 56 57 have Ruffin released on parole; and Whereas, on February 19, 2003, the Commonwealth's Attorney of the City of Norfolk and Ruffin 58

through legal counsel made a written request to Governor Mark Warner for executive clemency; andWhereas, on March 19, 2003, Governor Warner granted Ruffin an Absolute Pardon; and

61 Whereas, Ruffin, from the time of his incarceration in 1982 to his release in 2003, was incarcerated 62 for more than 21 years in the Virginia prison system; and

63 Whereas, Ruffin lost income and the ability to pursue a career as an electrician as a result of his 64 incarceration; and

Whereas, Ruffin has also suffered severe physical, emotional and psychological damage as a result of
this incarceration and has no other means to obtain adequate relief except by action of this body; now,
therefore,

68 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

69 1. § 1. That the following shall be paid for the relief of Julius Earl Ruffin from the general fund of the 70 state treasury, upon execution of a release of all claims he may have against the Commonwealth or any agency, instrumentality, officer, employee, or political subdivision in connection with the aforesaid 71 occurrence, (i) the sum of \$1 million to be paid to Julius Earl Ruffin on or before August 1, 2004, by 72 check issued by the State Treasurer on warrant of the Comptroller and (ii) an annuity for the primary 73 74 benefit of Julius Earl Ruffin providing for equal monthly payments, for a period certain of 20 years 75 commencing on or before September 1, 2004, in the cumulative amount of \$2 million. The State 76 Treasurer shall purchase the annuity at the lowest cost available from any A+ rated company, including 77 any A+ rated company from which the State Lottery Department may purchase an annuity. Such annuity 78 shall be nonassignable with the exception that the annuity shall contain beneficiary provisions providing for the annuity's continued disbursement in the event of the death of Julius Earl Ruffin. 79