DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber SB814			
	HouseofOrigi	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled
2.	Patron	Stolle		
3.	Committee	GeneralLaws		
4.	Title	LineofDutyAct		

- **5. Summary/Purpose:** The bill would amend the definition of "disable dperson" under the Line of Duty Acttoin clude any individual who, as the director proximater esult of the performance of his duty in any qualified position, has become mentally or physically incapacitated, on or after April 8, 1972, so as to prevent the further performance of duty where such incapacity is likely to be permanent.
- 6. Fiscalimpact: Thisbillwouldhaveasignificantfiscalimpact. The Department of Planning and Budget (DPB), along with the Department of Accounts (DOA), conducted an analysis of the potential costs associated with this bill. In addition in 2001 the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission (JLARC) prepared a fiscalimpact review of SB812 (Stolle) as introduced (2001 General Assembly Session), which was identical to this legislation. In the fall of 2001, the Virginia Retirement System (VRS), at the request of Delegate Putney, examined the potential costs associated with SB812. The results of the seth ree analyses are presented below.

DPB/DOAanalysis. Undercurrentlaw,anyeligiblepersondisabledonorafterJuly1,2000, isen titledtoreceivehealthinsurancecoveragefullypaidforbytheCommonwealth.Thebill wouldextendthisbenefittoalleligibleindividualsdisabledonorafterApril8,1972.The LineofDutyActalsorequiresthatanysuchpaymentsforhealthinsura ncecoveragebe retroactivetothefirstdatethatthedisabilityexisted(§9.1 -404,CodeofVirginia).The legislationwouldthuscreatealiabilitywithrespecttoclaimsforretroactivepaymentsto reimbursenewlyeligibledisabledindividualsforhea lthinsurancepremiumspaidpriortoFY 2004.

Thefiscalimpactassociated with this legislation depends on a number of factors, including the number of newly eligible individuals disabled on or after April 8,1972, and before July 1, 2000, the number of such individuals who file claims, and the costs associated with past and future health in surance coverage. At this time, DPB and DOA cannot estimate with precision the fiscal impact associated with this legislation. However, it appears that the state's potential liability for prospective costs could range from \$6 million to \$12 million per year, and the state's potential liability for retroactive costs could range from \$45 million to \$90 million in total.

If, for example, therewere 1,000 newly eligible disable dindividuals filing claims for health insurance coverage, then the prospective costs could be ginatabout \$6 million per year (beginning in FY2004). If, however, the number of newly eligible disable dindi viduals filing claims for health insurance coverage numbered 2,000, then the prospective costs could be gin at about \$12 million per year (beginning in FY2004). In addition, as mentioned above, the state 's potential liability for the retroactive payments could range from \$45 million to \$90 million in total. DPB and DOA believe it is likely that the total population of eligible individuals disable donorafter April 8, 1972, and before July 1, 2000, numbers between 1,000 and 2,000. DPB and DOA cannotesti mate, however, the number of such individuals who would file claims for health in surance coverage.

TherealsomaybeincreasedcoststotheDepartmentofStatePolicetoconductadditional investigations.DOAestimatesthatitmayneedadditionalstafft opreparethemonthly premiumpaymentsforsucheligibledisabledindividuals.However,DPBcannotestimatethe amountofanysuchadditionalcostsbecauseitwoulddependonthenumberofeligible disabledindividualsfilingclaims.

JLARCanalysis. In 2001JLARCestimatedthattherecouldbe890individualseligiblefor thisbenefitinFY2002,butrecognizedthatthisnumbermightbelow.Basedonthecostof stateemployees'healthinsurancecoverage,JLARCestimatedthatcoverageforall890 individualscouldcost\$5.8 -\$6.9millioninFY2002,dependingonthecoveragechosenbythe individuals.JLARCalsonotedthattheretroactivityprovisionincludedincurrentlawwould increasethecostssubstantially.Forexample,ifthe890individualswere onaveragedisabled fiveyearsago,then,accordingtoJLARC,theretroactivepaymentscouldexceed\$34million.

VRSanalysisIn2001VRSestimatedthatthetotalnumberofeligibleindividualsdisabled betweenApril1,1972andJune30,2000is1,523. VRSfurtherestimatedthatthepotential FY2002costofprovidinghealthinsurancetosuchpersonsdisabledinthelineofduty betweenApril1972andJune2000wouldbealittlemorethan\$8million.VRSestimated thatFY2001retroactivepaymentswoul dtotaljustunder\$8million.VRSdidnotestimate retroactivecostspriortoFY2001.

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes.
- **8. Fiscalimplications:** SeeItem6.
- **9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:** DepartmentofAccounts;Department of StatePolice.
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.

SB814FiscalImpactStatement PageThree

11. Othercomments: This billisidentical to SB759 as introduced.

Date:01/14/03/mar

Document: G:\LEGIS\2003\FIS\Sb814.DOC

cc: SecretaryofFinance SecretaryofPublicSafety