## VIRGINIARETIREMENTSYSTEM 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	SB351			
	HouseofOrigi	n X Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	ron Reynolds			
<b>3.Committee</b> Finance					
4.	Title	Purchaseof CreditableServiceUnderVRS			
5.	Summary/Purpose: Thisbillauthorizesanylocality(i)inwhichtheci vilianlaborforce				
equalslessthan90percentofthecivilianlaborforceinthelocalityin1993,as					
determinedbytheVirginiaEmploymentCommission,and(ii)thatis					
participating directly in the Virginia Patirement System to purchase up to					

participatingdirectlyintheVirginiaRetirementSystem,topurchaseupto fiveyears ofcreditableserviceforanyofitsemployees,concurrentlywith theterminationoftheemployee'semploymentbythelocality.Creditable servicemaybepurchasedonlyforemployeeswhosepositionisterminatedinthe implementationofaplantoreduce thelocality'sworkforce.Theplanmustbe filedwiththeVirginiaEmploymentCommission.Foreachyearofservice purchased,thelocalityshallpaythesumofthemembercontributioncharged pursuantto§51.1 -144plustheemployercontributioncharged pursuantto§ 51.1-145,bothasineffectatthetimeofpurchase.Thelocalitymaynotrehirethe employeeuntilaperiodofatleasttwoyearshasexpiredsubsequenttotheemployee's termination.

## 6. NoFiscalImpactfortheCommonwealth.

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:No

8. Fiscalimplications: Thisbillallowsapurchaseofservicebyalocalityforcertainterminating employees. The billstates that the cost to the locality for purchasing services hall be the sum of the 5% member contribution and the locality's employer contribution rate. Depending on the locality, the cost could range from 5% to 30% of annuals alary of the employee for each year purchased. The true actuarial cost of a year of service, on average, is 15%. The minimum actuarial cost is 5% and the maximum cost is determined by the member's age and service, i.e. the proximity in time to when the member may retire. In addition, the infusion of service credits could create a change in the retirement patterns of employees in the locality. Given these implications, the purchase cost as stated in the bill would probably not cover the true actuarial cost of the service, the reby creating an unfunded liability that would cause future contribution rates to rise in alocality that is a ffected by this bill because of fiscal stress caused by economic and demographic factors. The cost of the unfunded liability is passed on to future tax payers and creates an intergenerational in equity.

9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaff ected: VRS and political subdivisions meeting the eligibility criteria stated in the bill.

- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:No
- 11. Othercomments: ThisbillallowcertainlocalitiestopurchasewhatIRScalls"nonqualified service."Suchserviceis servicenotrenderedundertheretirementplanbythemember. Allowingonlycertainemployerstopurchasenonqualifiedservicefortheiremployeesmay haveimplicationsrelatedtoIRSqualification. The substitute places this provision in an enactment cl ause and provides an effective date after the VRS obtains a favorable ruling from the IRS.

**Date:** 01/30/02/wgh **Document:**sb351s1