## DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	SB1204		
	HouseofOrigin	Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCo mmittee ☐	Substitute	Enrolled
2.	Patron	Newman		
3.0	Committee	PassedBothHouses		
4.	Title	CodeAdamalerts;Virgin	iaAmberAlertPro	ogram.
5.	• Summary/Purpose: Requires statebu ilding sopentothe public to have a Code Adam programa sapreventive to olagain stchildabductions and for locating lost children incertain public buildings. The alerts are used to lock down buildings where a child has been lost or possibly abducted. The bill requires the Board of Education to develop, in cooperation with private entities, a program to provide parents with child identification kits through school distribution. The kits shall include identification information about the subject child, including current photo, finger prints, DNA samples, and important medical information and shall include in structions for the propersafe keeping of the kit. Such kits shall be held for safe keeping by the parent, not as chool, school board, or other public entity. The bill also establishes the voluntary Amber Alert Programa same anstoprovide notice and information of a childabduction to the public by the media (local, regional or state wide) to be activated initially in the discretion of the local law - enforcement of ficials.			
6.	NoFiscalImpact.			
7.	Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.			
8.	<b>Fiscalimplications:</b> The proposed legislation is not expected to have a fiscal impacton state agencies since parents would likely be obtaining child identification kits dire ctly from private entities. This legislation compliments the Governors "KIDs afe Virginia" initiative that, by partnering with automobile dealerships statewide, has already made available 80,000 free child DNA kits for Virginia parents.			

**9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisionsaffected:** BoardofEducation,Departmentof GeneralServices,DepartmentofStatePolice,locallawenforcementagencies,andradioand televisionstationsstatewide.

According to the Department of State Police, the rewould be no impact to the department resulting from this legislation. Also, the Department of General Services projects a minimal

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.

impactthatcouldbeabsorbedwithcurrentfunding.

## 11. Othercomments: Identical toHB1832.

**Date:** 02/27/03/jgc

**Document:** DPB G:\JGC\2003session \BillsEFIS \Submitted\SB1204ER.doc

cc:SecretaryofPublicSafety SecretaryofEd ucation SecretaryofAdministration