DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber SB1019				
	HouseofOrigi	in Introduced	☐ Substitute	☐ Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	☐ InCom mittee	☐ Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	Mims			

4. Title Drivingwhileintoxicated;penalty.

PassedBothHouses

5. Summary/Purpose:

3.Committee

§19.2-270currentlydoesnotnoteafinefo rpersonsforthefirstDUIconviction,exceptwhatisimposedfromaClass1 misdemeanorconviction.However,thisbillimposesa\$250mandatoryminimumfine.Inaddition,anypersoncurrentlyconvicted ofasecondoffensecommittedwithintenyearsaft erthefirstoffenseshallbefinedupto\$200.SB1019increasesthesecond offensefineby\$300,to\$500.Anypersonconvictedofthreeormoreoffenses,shallbefinedamandatoryminimumfineof \$1,000.Inaddition,anypersonconvictedofaviolati oncommittedwhiletransportingapersonunderseventeenyearsofageshall haveamandatory,minimumperiodofconfinementoffivedays.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare: Final, see Item 8.

6b. RevenueImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2002-03	\$0		
2003-04	\$750,450		NGF
2004-05	\$750,450		NGF

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.

8. Fiscalimplications:

According to the Executive Office of the Supreme Court, the average fine (the first eleven months of 2002) for a first conviction of DUI is \$231, and for a second offense it is \$355. Under this legislation, first offense fines could increase by at least \$19 per conviction, and second offense fines could increase by at least \$145 per conviction. Based on recent data, if the number of convictions for first offenses for one year continues at \$22,900 (22,900 X \$19 = \$435,100) and second offense convictions equal \$3,900 (3,900 X \$145 = \$565,500) and all fines are paid, then total anticipated additional revenue would be \$1,000,600. However, collection rates are estimated to be about 75 per cent, or \$750,450. Sufficient data is not available to accurately project third offense fines, but an ecdotal evidence suggests that these fines could increase by several hundred dollars per conviction. Funds collected from fines are deposited to the Literary Fund.

Inaddition, the state responsible per - diempayment for personsheld in a local facility is \$8 perday. The fiscal impact of confinement cannot be determined since it would depend on the number of convictions. Funding for this would come from the general fund.

Thejointconferencecommitteereportdoesnotincludeadditionalgeneralfundsupporttooffsetpossibleper -diempayments.

- 9. Specificage nevorpolitical subdivisions affected: The Courts, and the Compensation Board.
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.
- 11. Othercomments: seeHB1702, which is similar.

Date: 03/04/03/jlm

Document:(DPB G:\Leg03 \SB1019ER.DOC)

cc:SecretaryofAdministration