## DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	SB1012				
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed		
	SecondHouse	☐ InCo mmittee	Substitute	Enrolled		
2.	Patron	Howell				
3.Committee CourtsofJustice						
4.	Title DepartmentofHumanResourceManagement;criminalbackgroundchecks					
5. Summary/Purpose: This bill requires the Department of Human Resource Management to develop a statewide policy for designating sensitive positions within state agencies. Such sensitive positions shall include positions generally described as directly responsible for the health, safety, and welfare of the general populace or protection of critical infrastructures applicants or candidates for employment in a position that has been designated as sensitive shall be required to submitto a criminal background in vestigation.						
6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare: SeeItem8below.						
7.	7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No					

**8. Fiscalimplications:** Currently, some agencies conduct Central Criminal Records Exchange (CCRE)checks, which include an FBI check, at least for finali stsforcertainpositions.Some conductlesserbackgroundreviews. Agencies that currently require these investigations utilizetheservicesofagenciessuchastheDepartmentofCorrectionsortheDepartmentof checks. Agencies paybetween \$37 and \$40 per StatePolicetofacilitatethebackground backgroundcheck.

Aspreviouslymentioned, current practice for many of those positions requiring a background checkislimitedtocandidateswhoarefinalistsforthosepositions. This bill would require all candidatestoundergothebackground check, which will increase coststo agencieshiring such positions and potentially increase thew orkload of the Department of Stat ePolice and/or the Department of Corrections (and other agencies that may be authorized to facilitate such reviews).

Further, this bill requires the Department of Human Resource Management (DHRM) to "developastatewidepersonnelpolicyfordesignatin gpositionswithineachstateagencyas sensitive." While the bill does restrict such positions to individuals" directlyresponsiblefor thehealth, safety and welfare of the general populace or protection of critical infrastructures, "itwillexpand thenumberofpositionsthatrequirebackground investigations beyond the current amount and require all candidates (as opposed to finalists only)toundergothischeck.

Thefiscalimpactofthisbillisindeterminate in that the number of positions that will be designated sensitive is unknown, as is the number of possible applicants for positions designated assensitive. In the event that an agency is seeking candidates for one of these positions, the cost of the background check for 50 candidates could be as much as \$2,000. If an agency has a number of these positions, which could be likely in the case of certain Public Safety agencies, the cost of hir in gnew employees may increase by a considerable amount. DHRM may also incursome costs developing the new statewide personnel policy.

**9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:** PotentiallyallExecutiveBranch agencies,inparticular,agenciesofthePublicSafetySecretariat.

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No

11. Othercomm ents: None

**Date:** 1/17/2003/JDH

**Document:** C:\DOCUMENTSANDSETTINGS \USER\MYDOCUMENTS \FIS\SB1012.DOC

cc:SecretaryofAdministration SecretaryofPublicSafety