DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	· HB766
	HouseofOrigin	n 🔀 Introduced 🔲 Substitute 🔲 Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCom mittee ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled
2.	Patron	Watts
3.0	Committee	Health, Welfare and Institutions
4.	Title	TemporaryAssistanceforNeedyFamilies;childsupportdisregard.

5. Summary/Purpose:

Thisbillrequires the Commonweal thoim plement a child support pass - through program for current TANF recipients. When child support is collected for a TANF family, the family will receive all of Virginia's share of the child support collected on the current support obligation. (The federal and stategovernments split the collections.) The payments will be disregarded in determining (i) eligibility for TANF cash assistance and medical assistances ervices and (ii) the amount of the TANF cash assistance payment.

6. Fiscalimpactestimatesarepreliminary. Seeitem8.

6a. ExpenditureImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2003	\$1,254,553	2.0	GF
	\$2,495,973		NGF
2004	\$1,247,413	2.0	GF
	\$2,482,113		NGF

6b. RevenueImpact:

oo.	76. Revenuempuet.					
	FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund		
	2003	(\$1,031,297)	N/A	NGF		
	2004	(\$1.031.297)	N/A	NGF		

Note: These estimates do not include factors that cannot be estimated, including the potential for increased costs of Food Stamperror penalties and Medicaid coverage of non as in Item 8 below.

- TAN Frecipie nts of child support noted, as in Item 8 below.

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes. Item 359, Child Support Enforcement Services (46301) and Public Assistance Child Support Collections (46302)

8. Fiscalimplications:

Background

Inanaveragemonth, the Division of Child Support Enforcement (DCSE) of the Department of Social Services (DSS) collects current child support for 5,300 families that are current recipients of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) cashass is tance. There is considerable variation as to the amount collected from month to month.

Underthenormalfederalandstatelegalstructure,non -TANFrecipientsreceivethefull amountofallcollectionsmadeontheirbehalf.Incontrast,collectionsma deonbehalfof currentrecipientsofTANFareusedfirsttoreimbursethefederalandstategovernmentsfor TANFcashassistancepaymentsalreadymade.Forthesefamilies,onlythemoneyremaining aftersatisfactionofthatobligationwouldpasstothec ustodialparent;thatoccursveryrarely.

The collections that are retained for reimbursement of cash assistance benefits are split between the state and federal governments in proportion to the normal federal Medicaid match ratio. In Virginia, the state 's share of those retained collections is not placed in the general fund, but they are used to fund the operations of the entire child supporten for cement program. Each \$1 of retained collections draws almost \$2 of federal funds to comprise the bulk of funding for program operation.

<u>ImpactonProgramFinancing</u>

The loss of these funds and the increase in associated administrative costs require replacement with General Fund. There is no alternative source of funding. The total loss of funds would be over \$3 million and the additional direct impact could be up to another \$21 million (loss of incentive payment and its match for deterior at edprogram performance as a result of the loss).

ReductioninFoodStampBenefitsforClients

Currentstatelawprovides thatchildsupportpaidtocustodialfamiliesiscountedasincome forthepurposesofcalculatingTANFandFoodStampeligibilitybenefits.UnderHB766, clientswouldreceiveanincreaseindisposableincome,butadecreaseinFoodStamp benefits.

SavingsintheFoodStampbenefitswouldnotbereflectedinthestatebudgetbecausethe federalgovernmentpaysforthosebenefitsdirectly.

OtherFiscalImplications

IncreaseinErrorRatesinFoodStampProgram:FoodStampbenefitswouldhavetobe readjustedperiodicallyasaresultoftheadditionalchildsupportincome.Duetofluctuations inchildsupportpaymentsthatraiseincomesabovetheeligibilitymaximums,itisanticipated thatsomefamilieswillbecomeineligibleforthesebenefits.Recoup mentofbenefitspaidin errorwouldbelargelyimpossible,aswellascostly.Virginiahasrecentlystabilizeditserror ratesinthefoodstampprogram.ThisproposalwillmakeVirginiavulnerabletoadditional financialsanctionsfromthefederalgove rnment.

NoestimatehasbeenincludedinItem6becausetheamountsareunpredictableandthetiming isdelayed.ConnecticutandWisconsin,bothofwhichpassthroughchildsupportbenefits, reporthavingexperiencedsignificanterrorsduetothechilds upportpass -through.

CustomerServiceCosts:Approximately127,200additionalcustomerservicecallsperyearto thevoiceresponsesystemareanticipatedbecauseofadditionalchildsupportpaymentsto TANFrecipients.Ofthese,31,800customerswilla sktospeaktoacustomerservice representative.Item6costsincludefundingtoemploy2newcustomerservice representativesaswellastheincreasedcoststothevoiceresponsesystemduetoincreaseduse of1 -800linesandmainframeprocessing.

<u>UndeterminedAmountofMedicaidCosts</u>

UnderfederalMedicaid"comparability"rules,Virginiacannotexemptthechildsupport incomeofcurrentTANFrecipientsfromconsiderationineligibilitydeterminationwithout applyingthesamestandardtoallothersrece ivingchildsupport.Thiscouldincreasethe numberoffamiliesonMedicaidandtherebyincreaseMedicaidcosts.Noestimatecanbe made,becausethereisnodataonthenumberofpersonscurrentlyineligibleduetochild supportwhowouldbemadeeligib leunderthecomparabilityprovisioninthestateplan triggeredbyHB776.

9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected:

VirginiaDepartmentofSocialServices Localdepartmentsofsocialservices

10.Technicalamendmentnecessary: Yes

TheeffectivedatewouldhavetobedelayedtogiveDSStimetomodifyitsmajormainframe programstohandlecomplexchangesinchildsupportfinancialprocessing. If theeffective date is July 1,2002, DSS will be unable to meet the deadline.

11. Other comments:

Theaverage current childs upport collection for each TANF recipient is \$130 permonth. The states have of the collection to be passed through under HB766 would be \$65. TANF recipients are already receiving \$50 of the states have as a disregard payment. HB766 would provide an additional \$15 permonth in income and a corresponding \$50 decrease in their food stamp benefit.

Date: 01/16/02/JLR

Document: G:\Fis2002 \Hb766.Doc JeffRyan

cc:SecretaryofHealthandHumanResources