## StateCorporationCommission 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB2453		
	HouseofOrigir	Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
	SecondHouse	InCommitt ee	Substitute	Enrolled
2.	Patron	Parrish		
3.Committee (		CommerceandLabor		

**4. Title** Electricutilityrestructuring;regionaltransmissionentities.

## 5. Summary/Purpose:

Electricutility restructuring; regional transmission entities. Eliminates the January1,2001,deadlinebywhichincumbentelectricutilitieswithtransmission capacityweretohavejoinedorestablishedaregionaltransmissionentity.Such incumbentelectricutilities shallnottransferownershiporcontrolof,or operational responsibility over, any transmission system to any person without theStateCorporationCommission'spriorapproval,followingnoticeand hearing, and a finding that the transfersatisfies specifi cconditions.The Commission'srules and regulations under which an incumbent electric utility maytransfercontrol, ownership or responsibility to are gional transmission entityareamendedtoeliminatetheconditionthattheybeconsistentwith ensuringthesuccessfuldevelopmentofinterstateregionaltransmission entities.Newconditionsrequirethattransfersbeconsistentwith(i) ensuring that consumers' needs for economic and reliable transmission are met and(ii)meetingthetransmissionneedsof electricgenerationsuppliersthat donotown,operate,controlorhaveanentitlementtotransmissioncapacity. Inaddition, requests for approval of transferss hall include a study of their comparative costs and benefits, including an analysis of thee conomiceffects of the transferon consumers, including the effects of transmission congestion costs.Ifaproposedtransferdoesnotsatisfyaconditionforapproval,the Commissionmayrequirethatthetransmissionsystembeupgradedpriorto approving thetransfer.

- 6. NoFiscalImpactonstateagencies
- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No
- 8. Fiscalimplications: No
- 9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected: StateCorporationCommission
- **10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:** Maybe.Subd ivision1of§56 -579Aaddslanguage "followingnoticeandhearing,"however,§56 -579Faddsonline110"afternoticeandan

opportunityforahearing."Oneprovisionrequiresahearingandtheotherprovidesforan opportunityforahearing.Shouldthe ybethesamesincetheproceedingwouldbethesame inbothinstances?

## **11. Othercomments:** None

Date: 01/16/03tel

cc:SecretaryofCommerceandTrade