DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB2434
	HouseofOrigin	Introduced Substitute Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCo mmittee ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled
2.	Patron	Dillard
3.0	Committee	HouseAgriculture,ChesapeakeandNaturalResources

SilviculturalActivities; bestmanagement practices.

5. Summary/Purpose:

4. Title

Requiressilviculturalactivitytobeconductedinaccordancewithbestmanagementpractices asestablishedbytheStateForester,andprohibitssilviculturalactivitythatcausesorislikelyto causepollution. ThebillprovidestheStateFore sterwithauthoritytoissuespecialorders ceasingsilviculturalactivitiesbeingconductedcontrarytobestmanagementpractices. Under currentlaw, theStateForestermayissuesuchordersonlyifsilviculturalactivityiscausingor likelytocausepo llution, orifsuchactivityisdepositinginstatewaterssedimentthat presents an imminent and substantial danger topubliche alth, safetyorwelfare, the health of a quaticlife, publicwater supply, or recreational, commercial, industrial, agricultural or other reasonable uses.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesarepreliminary.

ExpenditureImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2002-03	0	0.00	N/a
2003-04	2,915,000	53.00	GF
2004-05	2,915,000	53.00	GF

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:

Yes.item109.

8. Fiscalimplications:

The bill would require land owners and operators to institute best management practices based ontechnical guidance developed by the Department of Forestry. Under current law, land owners and operators have the discretion to utilize any method to stoperosion and sedimentation. However, the law provides DOF with the authority to prescribe specific methods when appropriate.

DOF conducts approximately 3,500 in spections annually. Under current law, when DOF conducts a harvesting in spection, the focus is on those are as where water quality may be adversely impacted because of a timber harvesting operation. Under the proposed law DOF would be required to conduct a more extensive in spection of the entire tract of land on which harvesting is to occur. The inspectors would look for any potential for soiler os ion or movement. Any in spection would include such things as assessing whether roads on the

propertyarelaidinamannerprescribedinthebestpracticesmanualanddetermining whether diversionbridgesareinplacewhereappropriate, basedonbestmanagementpractices. Thus the amount of time required perinspection would increase significantly.

Toaddresstheadditionaltimerequirements and preventabacklog, DOF estimate sthat an additional 53 field positions would be required. The cost perposition is approximately \$55,000, including personal and nonpersonal services. DOF estimates that the bill would have a fiscal impact of \$2.92 million (GF) annually.

Atthistim eitisnotknownwhatifanyimpactthebillwillhaveonDOF'senforcement activities. Currently, the Codeprovides DOF with the authority to issue aspecial orderifan operation is causing or likely to cause pollution. The proposed billwould allow DOF to also issue aspecial orderifitis determined that an operation was conducted or is being conducted in amannerinconsistent with best management practices. The Code also provides for a hearing and civil penalties for violations. At this time it is not known whether the proposed bill will result in additional hearings or civil penalty revenue. Any revenue collected is deposited into the Virginia Forest Water Quality Fund.

9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected:

DepartmentofFor estry.

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:

None.

11. Other comments:

None.

Date: 01/14/03/tmw

Document: G:\2003Session \ImpactStatements \Hb2434.Doc ToniWalker

cc:Sec retaryofCommerceandTrade

SecretaryofFinance