## VirginiaRetirementSystem 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1. BillNumber	HB2345		
HouseofOrigi	n X Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
SecondHouse	InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled
2. Patron	Weatherholtz		
3.Committee	Appropriations		

**4. Title** Deputysheriffs;retirementallowance.

## 5. Summary/Purpose:

Deputysheriffs;retirementallowance.Providesthatdeputysheriffsemployed bypoliticalsubdivisionsparticipatingintheVirginiaRetirementSystem("VRS") shallreceivethesameretirementbenefitsassheriffs.Undercurrentlaw,any sheriffemployedbyapoliticalsubdivisionparticipatinginVRSshallreceive retirementbenefitsequivalenttothoseprovidedundertheStatePolice Officers'RetirementSyst em(SPORS)forstatepoliceofficers(LEOS).Thisbill wouldprovidethatdeputysheriffs,whosepoliticalsubdivisionparticipatesin VRS,alsoreceiveretirementbenefitsequivalenttothoseprovidedunderSPORS.

6.FiscalImpact:SeeNo.8below.

## 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No

8. Fiscalimplications: Approvalofthisbillwouldbeconsidered in the June 30, 2003 actuarial valuationsandwouldberecognizedinemployercontributionratesofaffectedcitiesand countiesonJuly1,2004.Heretofore ,thedecisiontocoverdeputysheriffsunderspecial benefitsforlawenforcementofficers(LEOS)hasrestedwiththelocality.Currently,50 cities and counties participating in the VRS do not cover their deputy sheriff sunder LEOS. Thisbillwouldres ultinapproximately885deputysheriffsbeingcovered.BecauseVRS cannotidentifythesedeputiesfromitsrecords,theactualcosttoeachlocalitycannotbe determined.UsingthecontributionratescalculatedforSPORSandtheVirginiaLaw OfficersRe tirementSystem(VALORS), the aggregate cost to localities would range from \$3.9millionannuallyto\$4.4millionannually.Currently.thestatereimburseslocalitiesfor thestatefundeddeputysheriffpositionsandthestatefundedsalarycappedatthe employer contributionrateforstateemployees.Ifanindividuallocality'srateisbelowthestaterate and the addition of LEOS for deputy sheriffs increased that rate, then the state's reimbursementwouldincreasetoanamountnottoexceedthestater ate.Tothatdegree, therewouldbeacosttotheCommonwealthresultingfromthisbill.

This bill does not extend LEOS benefits to jail of ficers in regional jails, which would increase the cost to localities supporting those jails.

- **9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:** VRSandlocalitieswithoutLEOS coverage.
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No

## 11. Othercomments: None

**Date:** 01/17/03/wgh **Document:**h2345