DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB1926
	HouseofOrigi	n 🔀 Introduced 🗌 Substitute 🗌 Engrossed
	SecondHouse	InCommittee Substitute Enrolled
2.	Patron	Nixon
3.0	Committee	ScienceandTechnology

4. Title VirginiaInformationTechnologiesAgency.

5. Summary/Purpose:

EstablishestheVi rginiaInformationTechnologiesAgency(VITA).Theagencywillbe responsibleforestablishingpolicyforallstateagenciesandfortheprocurement, maintenance,andadministrationofinformationtechnologyequipmentandservices statewide.Theagencywi llreplacetheDepartmentofInformationTechnologyandthe DepartmentofTechnologyPlanning,whichareabolished.Thebillalso(i)establishesthe TechnologyInvestmentBoardtoadvisetheSecretaryofTechnologyonmattersrelatingto theinvestmenta ndprocurementofinformationtechnologyandtoreview,prioritize,and apportioncostsforprojectsrequestedbyagenciesandinstitutionsofhighereducation,(ii) repealstheVirginiaInformationProvidersNetworkAuthority,and(iii)repealstheJuly1 2003,sunsetforthereverseauctioningprovisionsoftheVirginiaPublicProcurementAct. Thebillhasanemergencyclause.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare:Preliminary(SeeItem8.)

6a. ExpenditureImpa	ct:
---------------------	-----

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2002-03	1,020,000		GFandNGF
2003-04	(23,440,000)		GFandNGF

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.ThislegislationisconsistentwiththeGovernor's introducedbudgetbill,HB1400.

8. Fiscalimplications:

costs

Thislegislationisacoreelementofth eGovernor'sTechnologyStrategicPlan.Alongwith itscorrespondinglanguageinthebudgetbill,thislegislationwillpermittheimplementation of the statewidetechnologyconsolidation.The scope of this impact statement encompasses both this specific legislation, and its implied implementation of the technology strategic plan.

Thisplanprovidesanestimated\$37.4millioninsavingsinFY2004.Theintroducedbudgetbill(SB700)includesthesesavingswithinagencies'individualbudgets.Ofthe\$37.4million,\$23.4millionistransferredtothegeneralfundintheintroducedbudgetbill.37.4million,

Torealize these, and futures avings, the administration has proposed as chedule as towhen costs would be incurred for specific consolidation efforts. The for specific consolidation ollowing tables unmarizes this schedule. The first element under FY2003, VITAC consolidation Costs, is an explicit element addressed by HB1926.

Table1.

ImplementationCosts

implementationeosts							
	dollarsinmillions						
	FY2003	FY2004					
ImplementationElements	Cost	Cost					
•							
FY03Projects							
VITAConsolidationCosts	0.75	5.75					
LicenseConsolidation(Desktop&Messaging)	0.12	0.00					
TelecommunicationsUsagePolicy	0.00	0.00					
COVANETDedicatedPrivateLinesAudit	0.15	0.00					
FY03ProjectsSubtotal	1.02	5.75					
FY04P rojects							
HelpDeskConsolidation+	0.00	5.50					
LicenseConsolidation(Servers)	0.00	3.10					
OracleFinancialApplicationConsolidation	0.00	1.75					
DisasterRecoveryServicesConsolidation	0.00	0.45					
CentralizedAccessManagement+	0.00	4.50					
CentralizedSystem/NetworkManagement+	0.00	7.00					
CentralizedSecurityDeviceManagement+	0.00	0.11					
DeviceHardeningStandardization+	0.00	0.23					
FY04ProjectsSubtotal	0.00	22.64					
·							
TotalCosts	1.02	28.39					

+Savingsgeneratedfrom additional reduction in resources

<u>savings</u>

Before the saving stobegenerated by this legislation were estimated, a current baseline of state IT expenditures was obtained. All state agencies in the scope of the consolidation plan conducted a "due dil igence" survey of their IT costs for FY 2002. Each agency head certified his or herown agencies 'IT spending. These expenditures were then divided into four broad categories. Each agency's part, as a percentage of all agencies in that category, was calculated. The total FY 2002 state IT spending for all in -scope agencies amounted to \$448.0 million.

Table2.

StateInformationTechnologySpendingforFY2002

TotallT Spend	FTE -State ITEmployees	FTE -IT Contractor Staff	External Services*	OtherIT Spend**
\$447,981,632	\$138,970,228	\$52,972,276	\$80,723,831	\$175,315,297

*ExternalServices --- Expendituresthatarenon -personnelrelated. Examples mightincludelicensingconsolidationsandcentralizedmanagementofIT. **OtherIT Savings --- Resourcereductionswhichwerenonspecificinnatureand notreadilyidentifiableintotheotherthreecategories.

Anin depthreviewandanalysisofthestate'sITfunctionsandprocesseswasconducted byBearingPointIncorporated,andsuper visedbytheOfficeoftheSecretaryof Technology.Itprovidedanestimatedaggregatesavingsamountforeachofthefour outlinedexpenditurecategories.Thestudycalculatedthattheimplementationofthe Secretary'stechnologyplanwouldresultina totalsavingsof\$54.0millionforthein scopeagencies.Thetotalsavingsamountof\$54.0millionwasfurtherreducedbycertain non-generalfundsandbudgetcutsthathadsubsequentlybeensubtractedfromthe agenciesbudgetsfollowingtheduediligenc esurvey.Therevisedsavingsamount availableis\$37.4million.Thesavingsbreakdownintothefour -categoriesand adjustmentisshownbelow:

Table3.

TechnologyStrategicPlanSavings

TotallT Savings	FTE -IT State Employees	FTE -IT Contractor Staff	External Services	OtherIT Savings	Adjustment for savings previously captured	Revised Savings Amount
\$54,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$25,500,000	\$10,000,000	\$8,500,000	(\$16,578,416)	\$37,421,584

9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivision saffected: Allstateagencies.

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.

11. Othercomments: ThisisacompanionbilltoSB847.

Date: 01/15/02/MWG

Document:(DPB G:\FIS\03\MWG\HB1926.DOC)

cc:SecretaryofTechnology